arXiv:1302.2442v1 [math.AG] 11 Feb 2013

SHEAF HOMOTOPY THEORY THROUGH THE GODEMENT RESOLUTION

BEATRIZ RODRÍGUEZ GONZÁLEZ AND AGUSTÍ ROIG

Abstract. Godement cosimplicial resolution is available for a wide range of categories of sheaves. In this paper we first investigate under which conditions of the Grothendieck site and the category of coefficients we can do sheaf homotopy theory by means of this resolution; for instance, for which Grothendieck sites and coefficients we can define sheaf cohomology through it. Secondly, we point out that the Godement cosimplicial resolution is a monoidal one, hence perfectly well-suited to tackle with multiplicative structures. By way of example, we develop the case of sheaf cohomology with coefficients in operads and operad algebras in detail.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
  1.1. What this paper is about 2
  1.2. Notations 6
2. Homotopical preliminaries 7
  2.1. Descent categories 7
  2.2. Cartan-Eilenberg categories 11
3. Categories of sheaves 12
  3.1. Sheaves of sets 12
  3.2. Sheaves with general coefficients 14
  3.3. The cosimplicial Godement resolution 16
4. Cartan-Eilenberg categories of sheaves 17
  4.1. Cartan-Eilenberg fibrant sheaves 17
  4.2. The hypercohomology sheaf 18
  4.3. Characterization 22
  4.4. Derived functors for sheaves 25
5. Examples 26
  5.1. Bounded complexes of sheaves 26
  5.2. Cosimplicial sheaves in abelian categories 27
  5.3. Unbounded complexes of sheaves 27
  5.4. Sheaves of fibrant simplicial sets 30
  5.5. Sheaves of fibrant spectra 32

February 12, 2013.

First named author partially supported by ERC Starting Grant project TGASS and by contracts SGR-119 and FQM-218. Second named author partially supported by projects MTM2009-09557, 2009 SGR 119 and MTM2012-38122-C03-01.

6. Descent categories with products 32
6.1. Descent structures and monoidal structures 32
6.2. Descent categories of operads 34
6.3. Descent categories of operad algebras 35
7. Products in sheaf cohomology 36
7.1. Monoidal structures for sheaves 36
7.2. Operad and operad algebra sheaves 38
7.3. Products on derived functors 39
7.4. Algebras over a fixed operad 40
Appendix A. The IPC property 41
References 42

1. Introduction

1.1. **What this paper is about.**

1.1.1. Sheaf homotopy theory is an essential tool in algebraic geometry, K-theory, differential geometry, homotopical algebra, etc.

Let us focus on sheaf cohomology: in its simplest appearance, it consists of a family of abelian groups $H^n(X;\mathcal{F})$, depending on two "variables": a "space" X and a sheaf of coefficients $\mathcal{F}$. Since its introduction by Leray, the range of its "variables" has widened a lot: from X being a topological space to a Grothendieck site ([SGA4]) and $\mathcal{F}$ a sheaf of abelian groups to, for instance, one of operad algebras ([KM], [Hin]).

Definitions of sheaf cohomology have been developed using different kinds of resources: injective resolutions, flasque resolutions, cosimplicial ones, fibrant models... Among all these "resolutions", the cosimplicial Godement resolution seems particularly well-suited to adapt to sheaves with values in a wide range of categories. Indeed, if $\mathcal{X}$ is a Grothendieck site, in order to define the cosimplicial Godement resolution for a sheaf $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ with values in some category of coefficients $\mathcal{D}$, we only need $\mathcal{D}$ to have filtered colimits and arbitrary products. In this situation, we have a functor

$$G^\bullet : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \Delta\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$$

from sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ with values in $\mathcal{D}$ to cosimplicial ones.

Hence, for every sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$, we get a cosimplicial one $G^\bullet\mathcal{F} \in \Delta\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ and we want now to "reassemble" all the pieces $G^p\mathcal{F}$, $p \geq 0$, in order to obtain a simple sheaf which might be entitled to be a "model" for $\mathcal{F}$. For this, we assume that our category $\mathcal{D}$ comes equipped with a "simple" functor $\mathbf{s} : \Delta\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$. To get anchorage for her ideas, the reader may think of $\mathcal{D}$ as being the category of cochain complexes of abelian groups $\mathbf{C}^*(\mathbf{Ab})$ and $\mathbf{s}$ the total complex of a double complex. This simple functor naturally induces one on sheaves $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\Delta\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ which comes together with a universal map $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}G^\bullet\mathcal{F}$. This is our candidate for a "model" of $\mathcal{F}$. Following Thomason and Mitchell ([Th], [Mit]), we call it the *hypercohomology sheaf of* $\mathcal{F}$:

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{s}G^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}) \ . \tag{1.1.1}$$

Hence, our first question is:

Question. Under which conditions for $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, does (1.1.1) deserve to be called a "fibrant" model for $\mathcal{F}$?

For instance, assume that $\mathcal{X}$ has a final object X: when would it make sense to define sheaf cohomology of $\mathcal{X}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{F}$ as $\Gamma(X, \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}))$? More precisely, we are asking when this formula would define a right derived functor in the sense of Quillen [Q]; that is, a left Kan extension.

1.1.2. Of course, in order to talk about derived functors and homotopy categories, we need to specify the class of morphisms with respect to which we localize. In all examples we are aware of, this is the class of *local equivalences*: we have a distinguished class of morphisms E, "equivalences", in the category of coefficients $\mathcal{D}$ and, for a morphism of sheaves $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ to be called a local equivalence, we require every morphism induced on stalks $\varphi_x : \mathcal{F}_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_x$ to be in E for all the points x in $\mathcal{X}$. Let us note this class of local equivalences as $\mathcal{W}$. For instance, for $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{C}^*(\mathbf{Ab})$ we could take E to be the class of *quasi-isomorphisms*, *quis*, morphisms which induce isomorphisms in cohomology, and then $\mathcal{W}$ would be the class of sheaf morphisms inducing *quis* stalkwise.

1.1.3. It would be tempting now to try to answer our question 1.1.1 introducing a Quillen model structure on $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ in such a way that $\mathcal{W}$ would be its class of weak equivalences and the hypercohomology sheaves $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ a (Quillen) fibrant model for each sheaf $\mathcal{F}$.

But Quillen model structures might be too much of a good thing: together with our prime objects of interests, we would have to prove, for instance, the existence of two factorizations for every morphism of sheaves.

Instead, we take the position to keep the amount of structure in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ to the minimum necessary in order to have the class $\mathcal{W}$ as "weak equivalences" and the sheaves $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ as "fibrant" objects.

One such minimum is attained, we believe, with *Cartan-Eilenberg structures*, CE-structures, or CE-categories, for short: an approach to homotopical algebra started in [GNPR]. A (right) CE-structure in a category $\mathcal{C}$ consists of two classes of distinguished morphisms, *strong* and *weak* equivalences, $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{W}$, and a *CE-fibrant* model for each object, having a lifting property analogous to injective resolutions in an abelian category, or Quillen fibrant models in a model category (see 2.2.2 for the precise definition). The name of these structures comes from the classic book [CE], where, in modern parlance, the homotopy theory of the category of cochain complexes $\mathbf{C}^*(\mathbf{Ab})$ is developed around two classes of distinguished morphisms: homotopy equivalences ($\mathcal{S}$) and *quis* ($\mathcal{W}$).

For our categories of sheaves, the class of strong (*global*) equivalences $\mathcal{S}$ will be formed by those morphisms of sheaves such that $\varphi(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ belongs to the class of equivalences E in

$\mathcal{D}$ for every object (open set) $U \in \mathcal{X}$ and the class of weak (*local*) equivalences $\mathcal{W}$ the one already mentioned. For every sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ its CE-fibrant model will be precisely its hypercohomology sheaf $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$; i.e., its Godement resolution.

1.1.4. It turns out, that, in order to provide our categories of sheaves $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ with a CE-structure, we need very simple elements on our category of coefficients $\mathcal{D}$ and these have already appeared in this introduction: essentially, our needs simply come down to a class of equivalences E and a simple functor $\mathbf{s} : \Delta\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

The property of possessing a simple functor is summarized by the notion of *cosimplicial descent category*, developed by the first named author in [Rod1], and inspired by the cubical cohomological descent categories of [GN]. Cosimplicial descent categories enjoy a rich homotopical structure. For instance, the simple functor is a homotopy limit for cosimplicial objects, and it allows construction of fiber sequences with the expected homotopical behaviour (see [Rod1]). In addition, if the weak equivalences are closed under coproducts, the cosimplicial descent category is then 'homotopically complete': it has all homotopy limits and homotopy right Kan extensions (see [Rod2]).

1.1.5. Once these tools (descent and CE categories) are set in place, we can prove our first main result (Theorem 4.3.2).

Theorem 1.1.1. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Grothendieck site and $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ a descent category satisfying the hypotheses* (4.1.1)*. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

1. $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ *is a right Cartan-Eilenberg category and for every sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$, $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is a CE-fibrant model.*
2. *For every sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$, $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is in $\mathcal{W}$.*
3. *The simple functor commutes weakly with stalks.*
4. *For every sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$, $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies Thomason's descent; that is, $\rho_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is in $\mathcal{S}$.*

We have already proven before that sheaves $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ are always CE-fibrant (see Proposition (4.2.5)). So this theorem shows first that the existence of a CE-structure on the category of sheaves $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ boils down to the fact that for every sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ the universal arrow $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is a local equivalence (condition (2)). It also shows that this condition is equivalent to Thomason's classic descent [Th] (condition (4); see also Corollary 4.3.5).

Finally, it gives a down-to-earth equivalent condition for all this to happen, which will be the one we will use in practice: condition (3) says that the simple functor $\mathbf{s}$ must commute with stalks up to local equivalence. That is, for every point $x \in X$, the natural morphism

$$\underrightarrow{\mathrm{colim}}_{\,x\in U}\mathbf{s}\left(G^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F})(U)\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}\left(\underrightarrow{\mathrm{colim}}_{\,x\in U} G^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F})(U)\right) ,$$

must be in E. Here the colimit is taken over all neighbourhoods U of x. For instance, for bounded cochains complexes this is a consequence of the commutation of the total complex functor $\mathbf{Tot}$ with filtered colimits

1.1.6. Once the equivalent conditions of Theorem 4.3.2 for the existence of a CE-structure on a category of sheaves are established, we can obtain some conclusions almost for free:

(1) The general criterion for deriving functors for CE-categories (Proposition 2.2.5) can be rephrased immediately for our categories of sheaves and gives the derivability of the global sections functor trivially (Corollaries 4.4.1 and 4.4.2).
(2) We show that, even in the absence of an associated sheaf functor for $\mathcal{D}$, the hypercohomology sheaf can be thought of as a "homotopical" sheafification functor, and the homotopy theories of sheaves and presheaves can be seen as equivalent (Corollary 4.3.6).
(3) The category of sheaves possesses well-behaved fiber sequences (Section 4.3.5).

1.1.7. To end with this first part of the paper, in Section 5 we check when the conditions of our Theorem 4.3.2 are satisfied in five important examples of sheaves: bounded complexes, cosimplicial objects in abelian categories, unbounded complexes, fibrant simplicial sets and fibrant spectra.

Unsurprisingly, the answers are not new: for bounded complexes the answer is 'always'. For unbounded complexes we prove that the finite cohomological dimension condition of [GS] on the site $\mathcal{X}$ guarantees the commutation. For sheaves of simplicial sets we prove that the finite type condition of [MV] is exactly what is needed. For this and fibrant spectra the finite cohomological dimension condition on the site also gives a sufficient condition.

1.1.8. The second part of the paper (Sections 6 and 7) deals with multiplicative structures. Our main remark here is Proposition 7.1.3, which, under some mild conditions on the monoidal coefficient category $\mathcal{D}$, says:

Proposition 1.1.2. $G^\bullet : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Delta Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ *is a monoidal functor.*

Some further explanations might be in order here. On the one hand, it is doubtful we can make big claims of originality about this fact, since Godement seems to have known about it avant la lettre, from the very beginning of sheaf theory and even before the term 'monoidal' was coined ([Go], p. 256, last paragraph) and used when necessary ([N]) without further mention. On the other hand, the failure of the tensor product of two resolutions to be a resolution seems to have been a source of some difficulty (e.g., [Sw], cf. [Ja1]). So, just for the record at least, we state this fact explicitly.

We then take advantage of it in order to show how sheaf cohomology with coefficients in operads or operad algebras inherits the same structures (Theorem 7.3.2). This too was already known, at least for dg operads and dg operad algebras; that is, when $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k})$ ([Hin]).

But maybe our construction of the multiplicative structures, besides being available for categories $\mathcal{D}$ other that the one of chain complexes of vector spaces, adds some piece of information (see remark 7.3.3): for a sheaf of operads $\mathcal{P}$ and of $\mathcal{P}$-operad algebras $\mathcal{A}$, its cohomology $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A})$ can be computed by forgetting the operad algebra structure; that is, just like a sheaf $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ (hence, subsequently , we just need "resolutions" in the category of sheaves with coefficients in $\mathcal{D}$, not in operad algebras). We then recover the operad algebra structure as

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{P}(l)) \otimes \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A})^{\otimes l} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbb{R}\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{P}(l) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\otimes l}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}\Gamma\alpha} \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}) \ ,$$

where κ is the Künneth morphisms of the monoidal functor $\mathbb{R}\Gamma = \mathbf{s}\Gamma G^{\bullet}$ and $\mathbb{R}\Gamma\alpha$ the morphism induced by the $\mathcal{P}$-algebra structure maps of $\mathcal{A}$. This is reminiscent of the well-known fact in classical sheaf cohomology that, if $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a ringed space and $\mathcal{F}$ an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module, $H^n(X;\mathcal{F})$ can be computed just with the abelian group structure of $\mathcal{F}$.

1.1.9. Last, but not least, let us point out one, perhaps, remarkable feature of this paper: in order to do sheaf homotopy theory we work directly with, well, *sheaves*. That is, we do not need to resort to the intermediate category of presheaves, taking advantage of the fact that, with the class of local equivalences $\mathcal{W}$, both homotopy categories $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ and $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ are equivalent (cf. [Ja1]). Although this result is recovered from our construction, of course: see corollary 4.3.5.

1.1.10. *Acknowledgements.* This paper develops an idea suggested to us by Vicente Navarro. We owe him a debt of gratitude for sharing it with us. The second named author also benefited from many fruitful conversations with Pere Pascual. We are indebted to Francisco Guillén, Fernando Muro, Luis Narváez and Abdó Roig for their comments. People at sci.math.research and Mathoverflow also made useful suggestions answering our questions there.

1.2. **Notations.**

1.2.1. By $\mathbf{\Delta}$ we mean the *simplicial category*, with objects the ordered sets $[n] = \{0, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 0$, and morphisms the order preserving maps. The *face* and degeneracy maps are denoted by $d^i : [n-1] \to [n]$ and $s^j : [n+1] \to [n]$, respectively. The *strict* simplicial category $\mathbf{\Delta}_e$ is the subcategory of $\mathbf{\Delta}$ with its same objects and strict monotone maps as morphisms.

We denote by $\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}$, $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}\mathcal{D}$) the category of cosimplicial (resp. bicosimplicial, simplicial) objects in a fixed category $\mathcal{D}$.

The *diagonal functor* $\mathrm{D} : \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}$ is given by $\mathrm{D}(\{Z_{n,m}\}_{n,m\geq 0}) = \{Z_{n,n}\}_{n\geq 0}$. The *constant simplicial object* defined by $A \in \mathcal{D}$ will be denoted by $c(A)$ or by $A \times \mathbf{\Delta}$. In this way we obtain the constant functor $c : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}$, which is fully faithful.

1.2.2. If $\mathcal{D}$ has products then simplicial sets (co)act on $\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}$ in a natural way. Namely, if X is an object of $\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}$ and K is a simplicial set, then $X^K \in \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}$ is given by

$$(X^K)^n = \prod_{K_n} X^n \ . \tag{1.2.1}$$

We also denote $A^K = c(A)^K$ if A is an object of $\mathcal{D}$. Recall that, for $n \geq 0$, $\mathbf{\Delta}[n]$ is the simplicial set with $\mathbf{\Delta}[n]_m = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{\Delta}}([m],[n])$. Then, the cosimplicial path object of X is just $X^{\mathbf{\Delta}[1]}$, and it is used to define *cosimplicial homotopies* and *cosimplicial homotopy equivalences* in the usual way.

Given an object A of $\mathcal{D}$ and a cosimplicial object X, a coaugmentation $\epsilon : A \longrightarrow X$ is just a cosimplicial morphism $\epsilon : c(A) \longrightarrow X$. This ϵ is a cosimplicial homotopy equivalence if and only if ϵ has an *extra degeneracy* s^{-1} or s^{n+1}. Hence there exists $s^{-1} : X^0 \longrightarrow A$ (or $s^0 : X^0 \longrightarrow A$) and $s^{-1} : X^{n+1} \longrightarrow X^n$ (or $s^{n+1} : X^{n+1} \longrightarrow X^n$) satisfying the simplicial identities.

1.2.3. Given a class E of morphisms of a category $\mathcal{D}$, recall that its *saturation* is by definition $\overline{\mathrm{E}} = \gamma^{-1}(\text{isomorphisms})$, where $\gamma : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ is the localization functor. $\overline{\mathrm{E}}$ is the smallest saturated class containing E and the class E is called *saturated* if $\mathrm{E} = \overline{\mathrm{E}}$. Note that a saturated class contains all isomorphisms of $\mathcal{D}$, is closed under retracts and satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property.

Given another category $\mathcal{C}$, $Fun(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ denotes the category of functors from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$. If I is a small category, we will also write $\mathcal{D}^I$ for $Fun(I, \mathcal{D})$ and E^I, or just E, if I is understood the class of natural natural transformations $\tau : F \longrightarrow G$ such that $\tau_i \in \mathrm{E}$ for all objects $i \in I$. A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ can be extended *degree-wise* to the categories of cosimplicial objects $F : \Delta\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \Delta\mathcal{D}$ by $F(X^\bullet)^p = F(X^p)$. If I is any small category, the induced functor $\mathcal{C}^I \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^I$ will be written as F^I, or just F, without further mention.

2. Homotopical preliminaries

We introduce here the definitions and results concerning descent and CE-categories necessary for our paper. The interested reader may consult [Rod1], [Rod2] and [GNPR] for further details.

2.1. **Descent categories.**

2.1.1. A (cosimplicial) descent category consists, roughly, of a category $\mathcal{D}$ endowed with a class E of 'weak equivalences' and with a 'simple' functor $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ subject to the axioms below. These axioms ensure that $\mathbf{s}$ is a realization of the homotopy limit for cosimplicial objects, and that the localized category $\mathcal{D}[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ possesses a rich homotopical structure.

Definition 2.1.1. [Rod1, 1.1] A (*cosimplicial*) *descent category* is the data $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathbf{s}, \mu, \lambda)$ where $\mathcal{D}$ is a category closed under finite products and E is a saturated class of morphisms of $\mathcal{D}$, closed under finite products, called *weak equivalences*. The triple (s, μ, λ) is subject to the following axioms:

- (S1) The simple functor $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ commutes with finite products up to equivalence. That is, the canonical morphism $\mathbf{s}(X \times Y) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}(X) \times \mathbf{s}(Y)$ is in E for all X, Y in $\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}$.
- (S2) $\mu : \mathbf{ss} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{s}\mathrm{D}$ is a zigzag of natural weak equivalences. Recall that $\mathbf{s}\mathrm{D}Z$ denotes the simple of the diagonal of Z, while $\mathbf{ss}Z = \mathbf{s}(n \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}(m \to Z_{n,m}))$.
- (S3) $\lambda : \mathrm{id}_\mathcal{D} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{s}(- \times \mathbf{\Delta})$ is a zigzag of natural weak equivalences, which is assumed to be compatible with μ in the sense of (2.1.2) below.
- (S4) If $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}$ with $f_n \in \mathrm{E}$ for all n, then $\mathbf{s}(f) \in \mathrm{E}$.
- (S5) The image under the simple functor of the cosimplicial map $A^{d_0} : A^{\mathbf{\Delta}[1]} \longrightarrow A$ is a weak equivalence for each object A of $\mathcal{D}$.

For the sake of brevity, we will also denote a descent category by $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ if the remaining data is understood.

2.1.2. Compatibility between λ and μ. Given $X \in \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}$, denote by $X \times \mathbf{\Delta}$, $\mathbf{\Delta} \times X$ the bicosimplicial objects with $(X \times \mathbf{\Delta})^{n,m} = X^n$ and $(\mathbf{\Delta} \times X)^{n,m} = X^m$. Note that $\mathbf{ss}(X \times \mathbf{\Delta}) =$

$\mathbf{s}(n \to \mathbf{s}c(X^n))$ and $\mathbf{ss}(\mathbf{\Delta} \times X) = \mathbf{s}c\mathbf{s}(X)$. The compositions

$$\mathbf{s}(X) \xleftarrow{\mu_{\mathbf{\Delta}\times X}} \mathbf{s}c\mathbf{s}(X) \xleftarrow{\lambda_{\mathbf{s}(X)}} \mathbf{s}(X) \qquad \mathbf{s}(X) \xleftarrow{\mu_{X\times\mathbf{\Delta}}} \mathbf{ss}c(X) \xleftarrow{\mathbf{s}(\lambda_X)} \mathbf{s}(X)$$

give rise to isomorphisms of $\mathbf{s}$ in $Fun(\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D})[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$. Then, λ is said to be *compatible* with μ if the above isomorphisms are the identity in $Fun(\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D})[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$.

Remark 2.1.2. The presence of zigzags in the definition of descent category is needed to ensure its homotopy invariance (see [Rod1], Proposition 1.8). However, every example used in this paper has both μ and λ as actual natural transformations, and their compatibility takes place in $Fun(\Delta\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D})$ rather than in $Fun(\Delta\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D})[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ (see Examples 2.1.9 - 2.1.12).

Since this significantly simplifies exposition, we will assume that these stronger hypotheses are satisfied for the descent categories considered throughout the paper. Of course, all the results presented here may be adapted to the general case, paying attention to which assumptions must be imposed to the zigzags μ and λ.

We will also assume throughout the paper that simple functors preserve limits. This in particular implies (S1), but it is not a major restriction: it is fulfilled by all our examples of descent categories so far.

2.1.3. As mentioned before, a simple functor is a homotopy limit in the sense of Grothendieck derivators.

Theorem 2.1.3. [Rod1, 5.1] *The simple functor* $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}[\mathrm{E}^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ *is right adjoint to the localized constant cosimplicial object functor* $c : \mathcal{D}[\mathrm{E}^{-1}] \longrightarrow (\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D})[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$.

This theorem formally implies that $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}[\mathrm{E}^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ is the absolute right derived functor of the limit $\varprojlim_{\mathbf{\Delta}} : \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$, in case where $\mathcal{D}$ is complete. In particular, a simple functor is unique after localizing with respect to the weak equivalences. In addition, as seen in loc. cit, given an object $A \in \mathcal{D}$ the morphism $\lambda_A : A \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}c(A)$ viewed in $\mathcal{D}[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ is precisely the counit of the adjunction $(c, \mathbf{s})$.

The localized category $\mathcal{D}[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ of a descent category is naturally equivalent to the homotopy category of a Brown category of fibrant objects. Therefore it supports a rich homotopical structure, including the existence of fiber sequences satisfying the usual properties ([Rod1]).

2.1.4. The following two auxiliary results will be used in the study of sheaves with coefficients in descent categories.

Lemma 2.1.4. [Rod1, 1.5] *If A is an object $A \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\epsilon : c(A) \longrightarrow X$ is a coaugmentation of $X \in \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}$ with an extra degeneracy, then* $\mathbf{s}(\epsilon)$ *is a weak equivalence.*

Lemma 2.1.5. [Rod1, 4.4] *For a bisimplicial morphism $f^{n,m} : Z^{n,m} \longrightarrow T^{n,m}$, it holds that the morphism* $\mathbf{s}(n \to \mathbf{s}(m \to f^{n,m}))$ *belongs to* E *if and only if* $\mathbf{s}(m \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}(n \to f^{n,m}))$ *does.*

And the following three ones, will allow us to produce new descent structures from known ones. Their proofs are an easy exercise left to the interested reader.

Lemma 2.1.6 (Transfer Lemma)**.** *Let $(\mathcal{D}', \mathrm{E}', \mathbf{s}', \mu', \lambda')$ be a descent category. Given a functor $\psi : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'$, consider in $\mathcal{D}$ the weak equivalences $\mathrm{E} = \psi^{-1}\mathrm{E}'$. Assume that $\mathcal{D}$ has finite products and is equipped with a functor $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$, together with compatible natural weak equivalences $\mu : \mathbf{ss} \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}\mathrm{D}$ and $\lambda : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}(- \times \mathbf{\Delta})$. Then, $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathbf{s}, \mu, \lambda)$ is a descent category provided the following statements hold:*

- (*FD1*) *ψ commutes with finite products up to equivalence. That is, the natural map $\psi(X) \times \psi(Y) \longrightarrow \psi(X \times Y)$ is in E for all X, Y in $\mathcal{D}$.*
- (*FD2*) *There exists a natural weak equivalence $\theta : \psi\,\mathbf{s} \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}'\,\psi$ filling the square*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D} \arrow[r, "\psi"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{s}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\theta}{\nearrow}"] & \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}' \arrow[d, "\mathbf{s}'"] \\
\mathcal{D} \arrow[r, "\psi"'] & \mathcal{D}'
\end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 2.1.7. *If $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathbf{s}, \mu, \lambda)$ is a descent category and I is a small category, the category $\mathcal{D}^I$ of functors from I to $\mathcal{D}$ has a natural structure $(\mathcal{D}^I, \mathrm{E}^I, \mathbf{s}^I, \mu^I, \lambda^I)$ of descent category, defined objectwise. In particular, $\mathrm{E}^I = \{f \mid f(i) \in \mathrm{E} \text{ for all } i \in I\}$ and $(\mathbf{s}^I(X))(i) = \mathbf{s}(X(i))$, for every $i \in I$ and $X \in \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}^I$.*

Lemma 2.1.8. *If $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathbf{s}, \mu, \lambda)$ and $(\mathcal{D}', \mathrm{E}', \mathbf{s}', \mu', \lambda')$ are descent categories then $(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}', \mathrm{E} \times \mathrm{E}', \mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{s}', \mu \times \mu', \lambda \times \lambda')$ has a natural structure of descent category.*

2.1.5. To end with, we describe some examples of descent categories.

Example 2.1.9. Bounded complexes [Rod1, (3.4)]. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. For a fixed integer $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by $\mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$ the category of uniformly bounded below cochain complexes of $\mathcal{A}$; that is, $A^n = 0$ for all $n < b$ and all $A^* \in \mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$.

We will consider the following descent structure on $\mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$. The weak equivalences E are the *quasi-isomorphism* (*quis*): those maps inducing isomorphism in cohomology. The simple functor $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$ at a given cosimplicial cochain complex A is the (product) total complex of the double complex induced by A:

$$\mathbf{s}(A)^n = \prod_{p+q=n} A^{pq} \ .$$

The boundary map $d : \mathbf{s}(A)^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}(A)^n$ is then given by the maps $d_1^{p-1,q} + (-1)^p d_2^{p,q-1}$, where d_1 is the alternate sum of the face maps of the cosimplicial object $A^{*,q}$ and d_2 is the differential of the cochain complex $A^{p,*}$. Note that since A has finite codiagonals, $\mathbf{s}(A)^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} A^{pq}$.

As for μ and λ: if $Z \in \mathbf{\Delta\Delta}\mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$, μ_Z is just the Alexander-Whitney map $\mathbf{ss}Z \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}\mathrm{D}Z$. In degree n, $(\mu_Z)^n : \prod_{i+j+k=n} Z^{i,j,k} \longrightarrow \prod_{p+q=n} Z^{p,p,q}$ is the product of the maps

$$\prod_{i+j=p} Z(d^0 \overset{j)}{\cdots} d^0, d^p d^{p-1} \cdots d^{j+1}) : \prod_{i+j=p} Z^{i,j,q} \longrightarrow Z^{p,p,q} \ , \quad p+q=n \ .$$

And if $X \in \mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$, then $\mathbf{s}(X \times \mathbf{\Delta})^n = \prod_{p\geq 0} X^{n-p}$, and $\lambda_X^n : X^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}(X \times \mathbf{\Delta})^n$ is the canonical inclusion $X^n \longrightarrow X^n \oplus \prod_{p\geq 1} X^{n-p}$.

Given an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, the Dold-Kan correspondence provides an equivalence of abelian categories between $\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$ and the category $\boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathcal{A}$ of cosimplicial objects in $\mathcal{A}$. Using the Transfer Lemma, this allows to induce a descent structure on $\boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathcal{A}$ which, although equivalent to the one on $\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$, will be more convenient when dealing with multiplicative structures as we will see in Section 6.

Example 2.1.10. Cosimplicial objects. Consider the following descent structure on $\boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathcal{A}$. On the one hand, the weak equivalences are the quasi-isomorphisms, which are by definition the inverse image under the Moore complex functor $M : \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$ of the quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$. Recall that the Moore cochain complex of an object $A \in \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathcal{A}$ is obtained by taking as differential the alternate sum of the face maps of A. On the other hand, the simple functor is simply the diagonal $\mathrm{D} : \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathcal{A}$, and μ and λ are just the identity natural transformations.

The fact that these data make $\boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathcal{A}$ a descent category may be proved applying the Transfer Lemma to the Moore functor $M : \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$. Indeed, by the dual of the Eilenberg-Zilber-Cartier Theorem ([DP, 2.9]), the following diagram commutes up to natural homotopy

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "M"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{D}"'] & \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "M"] & \mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[d, "\mathbf{Tot}"] \\
\boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathcal{A} \arrow[rr, "M"] & & \mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})
\end{tikzcd}$$

and by definition $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{Tot} \circ M : \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$.

Example 2.1.11. Unbounded complexes. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian category in which limits of Mittag-Leffler sequences are exact, for instance $\mathcal{A} = R-$modules. In this case, the category $\mathbf{C}^{*}(\mathcal{A})$ of *unbounded* cochain complexes of $\mathcal{A}$ is also a descent category with weak equivalences, simple functor, μ and λ defined as in the bounded case. The Mittag-Leffler hypothesis is needed here to prove axiom (S4).

Example 2.1.12. Simplicial model categories [Rod1, Theorem 3.2]. The subcategory of fibrant objects $\mathcal{M}_f$ of a model category $\mathcal{M}$ is a descent category where E is the class of weak equivalences of $\mathcal{M}$ and the simple functor is the Bousfield-Kan homotopy limit, $\overset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}} : \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathcal{M}_f \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_f$, as defined in [Hir]. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a simplicial model category, the homotopy limit of a cosimplicial object X is the end of the bifunctor $X^{\mathrm{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\downarrow \cdot)} : \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{op} \times \boldsymbol{\Delta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_f$, $(n, m) \mapsto (X^m)^{\mathrm{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\downarrow n)}$, that is,

$$\underset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}\, X = \int_n (X^n)^{\mathrm{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\downarrow n)} .$$

Here $\mathrm{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta} \downarrow n)$ denotes the nerve of the over-category $(\boldsymbol{\Delta} \downarrow n)$, and $(X^m)^{\mathrm{N}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\downarrow n)}$ is constructed using the simplicial structure on $\mathcal{M}$.

Morphisms μ and λ are easily defined using that a functor $F : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces a natural map

$$\underset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}_{\mathcal{C}} X \longrightarrow \underset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}_{\mathcal{B}} F^* X = \int_b X(F(b))^{\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B}\downarrow b)}$$

which is defined by the maps $X(F(b))^{\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{C}\downarrow F(b))} \longrightarrow X(F(b))^{\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B}\downarrow b)}$, induced by $F : (\mathcal{B} \downarrow b) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{C} \downarrow F(b))$. Then:

- μ is obtained from the diagonal $d : \mathbf{\Delta} \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Delta} \times \mathbf{\Delta}$, that induces for each $Z \in \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{M}_f$
$$\underleftarrow{\mathrm{holim}}_{\mathbf{\Delta}} \underleftarrow{\mathrm{holim}}_{\mathbf{\Delta}} Z \simeq \underleftarrow{\mathrm{holim}}_{\mathbf{\Delta}\times\mathbf{\Delta}} Z \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\mathrm{holim}}_{\mathbf{\Delta}} d^* Z = \underleftarrow{\mathrm{holim}}_{\mathbf{\Delta}} \mathrm{D}Z \ ,$$
where the first isomorphism follows from the Fubini property of $\underleftarrow{\mathrm{holim}}$ (see [BK, XI.4.3]).
- λ is obtained from $l : \mathbf{\Delta} \longrightarrow *$. It induces $\lambda_A : A \simeq \underleftarrow{\mathrm{holim}}_{*} A \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\mathrm{holim}}_{\mathbf{\Delta}} (A \times \mathbf{\Delta})$ for each $A \in \mathcal{M}_f$.

Two particular instances of this example are relevant when talking about sheaf cohomology theories. First, the category $s\mathbf{S}_f$ of pointed Kan complexes, with weak equivalences the weak homotopy equivalences. Secondly, the category $\mathbf{Sp}_f$ of pointed fibrant spectra, as defined in [Th, 5.2]. The weak equivalences for the descent structure are then the *stable weak equivalences*; that is, morphisms of spectra inducing bijections in all homotopy groups.

2.2. **Cartan-Eilenberg categories.**

2.2.1. Cartan-Eilenberg categories are a new approach to homotopical algebra developed in [GNPR]. They use, we believe, a minimum amount of data in order to derive functors, so its conditions can be fulfilled by a wider class of categories, as we are going to show.

Definition 2.2.1. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ be a category with two classes $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ of distinguished morphisms, called respectively *strong* and *weak equivalences*, and such that $\mathcal{S} \subset \overline{\mathcal{W}}$. An object M of $\mathcal{C}$ is called *Cartan-Eilenberg fibrant*, *CE-fibrant* for short, if for each weak equivalence $w : Y \longrightarrow X \in \mathcal{W}$ and every morphism $f \in \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$, there is a unique morphism $g \in \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$ making the following triangle commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r, "w"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & X \arrow[dl, dotted, "g"] \\
M &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 2.2.2. Classes $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ of strong and weak equivalences considered later in the study of sheaves are saturated, i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W}$. In this case, Whitehead's theorem holds: a weak equivalence between CE-fibrant objects is a strong one.

2.2.2. A right *CE-fibrant model* of an object X of $\mathcal{C}$ is a morphism $w : X \longrightarrow M$ of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$ that becomes an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$, and such that M is CE-fibrant. It holds that if X admits a CE-fibrant model, it is unique up to unique isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$.

Definition 2.2.3. A category with strong and weak equivalences $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ is called a *right Cartan-Eilenberg category*, or *CE-category* for short, if each object X of $\mathcal{C}$ has a CE-fibrant model. In this case, we will also say that $\mathcal{C}$ *has enough CE-fibrant models.*

Example 2.2.4. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a Quillen model category and $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W}$ are the classes of its right homotopy equivalences and weak equivalences, respectively, then $(\mathcal{C}_c, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ is a right Cartan-Eilenberg category. Here $\mathcal{C}_c$ is the full subcategory of Quillen cofibrant objects. In this case, every Quillen fibrant object is CE-fibrant, but the converse need not be true: by its very definition, CE-fibrant objects are homotopically invariant, while Quillen fibrant objects are not.

2.2.3. Next we recall how CE-categories provide a derivability criterion of functors.

Proposition 2.2.5. *Let* $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ *be a Cartan-Eilenberg category and* $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ *a functor such that* $F(s)$ *is an isomorphism for every strong equivalence* $s \in \mathcal{S}$*. Then* F *has a right derived functor* $\mathbb{R}F : \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ *whose value on objects may be computed as* $\mathbb{R}F(X) = F(M)$*, where* M *is a fibrant model of* X*.*

Proof. See [GNPR, 3.2.1]. □

2.2.4. In the CE-categories considered later on, the CE-fibrant model of an object X will be functorial in the following sense.

Definition 2.2.6. [GNPR, 2.5] A *resolvent functor* for a CE-category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ is a pair (R, ρ) consisting of

1. a functor $R : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $R(X)$ is CE-fibrant for each object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, and
2. a natural transformation $\rho : \mathrm{id} \longrightarrow R$ such that $\rho_X : X \longrightarrow R(X)$ is in $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$ for each object $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

Note that a resolvent functor is in particular an *idempotent functor* on $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$: $\rho_{R(X)}$ and $R(\rho_X)$ agree and are isomorphisms of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$. In this case, an object X is CE-fibrant if and only if it is 'R-local', i.e., if and only if ρ_X is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$. Also, a weak equivalence $w \in \mathcal{W}$ is the same thing as an 'R-local weak equivalence', i.e., $w \in \mathcal{W}$ if and only if $R(w) \in \mathcal{S}$.

One of the advantages of having a resolvent functor is that, if $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fib}}$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ of CE-fibrant objects, there is an equivalence of categories ([GNPR, Proposition 2.5.3(2)])

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}_{\text{fib}}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}] \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathrm{i}"] & \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \arrow[l, shift left, "\sim"', "R"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

3. Categories of sheaves

We recall some general definitions and results about sheaves of sets on a Grothendieck site. Our main objective is to point out formulas (3.1.3) and (3.1.4) for stalks and skyscraper sheaves, respectively. Then we observe that these formulas still make sense for sheaves with values in any category with filtered colimits and arbitrary products, and that they do indeed form a pair of adjoint functors. The associated triple gives us the cosimplicial Godement resolution.

We also show that the category of sheaves with values in a descent category inherits a natural descent structure, which will be used repeatedly in the rest of the paper.

3.1. **Sheaves of sets.**

We begin by recalling some basic concepts concerning sheaves on a Grothendieck site.

3.1.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a category. A *presheaf on* $\mathcal{X}$ *with values in* **Set**, or a **Set**-*valued presheaf*, is a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. A morphism of presheaves is just a natural transformation of functors. Let $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Set})$ (or just $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$) denote the category with these objects and morphisms. By the Yoneda embedding, every object $U \in \mathcal{X}$ can be thought of as the representable presheaf $\mathbf{y}U = \mathcal{X}(-, U) \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$.

3.1.2. If U is an object of $\mathcal{X}$, a *sieve* on U is a subfunctor S of the representable functor $\mathbf{y}U$. Or, equivalently, a *sieve* on U is a set S of morphisms of $\mathcal{X}$ with codomain U such that for each $f : V \longrightarrow U \in S$, $fg \in S$ for any $g : W \longrightarrow V$ in $\mathcal{X}$.

A *Grothendieck topology* on $\mathcal{X}$ is a function J which assigns to each object $U \in \mathcal{X}$ a collection $J(U)$ of sieves on U satisfying the axioms of [SGA4], II.1, Definition 1.1 (or [McLM], III.2, Definition 1). A *Grothendieck site* is a category $\mathcal{X}$, together with a Grothendieck topology J. If $S \in J(U)$, we say that S is a *covering sieve* (or just a *cover*) of U.

3.1.3. A *sheaf on* $\mathcal{X}$ *with values in* **Set** is a presheaf $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ such that, for every object $U \in \mathcal{X}$ and every cover S of U, the natural map $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{y}U, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(S, \mathcal{F})$ is a bijection.

An equivalent characterization of sheaves is the following (see [McLM], page 122): a presheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is a sheaf if and only if for every object $U \in \mathcal{X}$ and every cover S of U, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{F}(U) \arrow[r] & \displaystyle\prod_{f:V\to U\in S} \mathcal{F}(V) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & \displaystyle\prod_{\substack{f:V\to U\in S\\ g:W\to V}} \mathcal{F}(W) \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.1.1}$$

is an equalizer of sets. It follows that a functor of presheaves that commutes with limits will send sheaves to sheaves.

3.1.4. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} = \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Set})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Set})$ whose objects are sheaves.

For instance, for every topological space X, we have an associated site, $\mathbf{Open}(X)$, its category of open sets, and $\widetilde{\mathbf{Open}(X)} = \mathbf{Sh}(X, \mathbf{Set})$ is the category of **Set**-valued sheaves on X. If $X = \{*\}$ is a one-point space, $\mathbf{Sh}(*, \mathbf{Set}) = \mathbf{Set}$.

3.1.5. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a *morphism of sites*; that is, a functor between the underlying categories going in the opposite direction $f^{-1} : \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ which is *continuous*. This means that for every sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$, the presheaf $V \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V))$, $V \in \mathcal{Y}$, is a sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}$. So, by definition, for every morphism of sites f, the *direct image* functor $f_* : \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$, $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \circ f^{-1}$, restricts to a functor between sheaves $f_* : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$.

3.1.6. The inclusion $\mathbf{i} : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ has a left adjoint $(-)^a : \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ ([SGA4], exposé II, Theorem 3.4). For any presheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$, its *associated sheaf* is by definition $\mathcal{F}^a$. The associated sheaf functor commutes with finite limits ([SGA4], exposé II, Theorem 4.1). We will denote the composition of the Yoneda embedding with the associated sheaf by $\mathbf{e} = (-)^a \circ \mathbf{y} : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$.

3.1.7. Recall that a *point* of a site $\mathcal{X}$ is by definition a pair of adjoint functors $x = (x^*, x_*)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \arrow[r, shift left, "x^*"] & \mathbf{Set} \arrow[l, shift left, "x_*"]\end{tikzcd} \quad , \qquad \mathbf{Set}(x^*\mathcal{F}, D) = \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}, x_*D)$$

such that x^* commutes with finite limits. The right adjoint $x_* : \mathbf{Set} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ gives for every set D the so called *skyscraper sheaf* x_*D of D at the point x. The left adjoint $x^* : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ gives for every sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ the *fibre* or *stalk* $x^*\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_x$ of $\mathcal{F}$ at x.

3.1.8. A *neighbourhood* of a point x in a site $\mathcal{X}$ is a pair (U, u) consisting of an object $U \in \mathcal{X}$ and an element $u \in (\mathbf{e}U)_x$. A *morphism of neighbourhoods* $f : (U, u) \longrightarrow (V, v)$ is a morphism $f : U \longrightarrow V$ of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $f^*_x(v) = u$.

The category $\mathbf{Nbh}(x)$ of neighbourhoods of x is a filtered one and we have a canonical and functorial isomorphism

$$x^*\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_x = \underrightarrow{\mathrm{colim}}_{(U,u)}\mathcal{F}(U) \ , \tag{3.1.2}$$

where (U, u) runs over the opposite category of neighbourhoods of x ([SGA4], exposé IV, 6.8). For a set $D \in \mathbf{Set}$, the sheaf x_*D also admits the following description: for $U \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$(x_*D)(U) = \prod_{u \in x^*(\mathbf{e}U)} D_u \ , \tag{3.1.3}$$

where $D_u = D$ for all $u \in x^*(\mathbf{e}U)$.

3.1.9. A site $\mathcal{X}$ is said to have *enough points* if it has a *conservative* family of points. That is, if there exists a set X formed by points of $\mathcal{X}$ such that a morphism f in $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $x^*(f)$ is a bijection for all $x \in X$.

Given a family X of enough points of $\mathcal{X}$, consider X as a discrete category with only identity morphisms. Then, there is an adjoint pair of functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \arrow[r, shift left, "p^*"] & \mathbf{Set}^X \arrow[l, shift left, "p_*"]\end{tikzcd} \quad , \qquad \mathbf{Set}^X(p^*\mathcal{F}, D) = \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}, p_*D)$$

defined, for $\mathcal{F} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and $D = (D_x)_{x \in X} \in \mathbf{Set}^X$, by

$$p^*\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_x)_{x \in X} \qquad \text{and} \qquad p_*D = \prod_{x \in X} x_*(D_x) \ . \tag{3.1.4}$$

3.2. Sheaves with general coefficients.

3.2.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be categories. A *presheaf on* $\mathcal{X}$ *with values in* $\mathcal{D}$, or a $\mathcal{D}$*-valued presheaf*, is a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$. A morphism of presheaves is just a natural transformation of functors. The category with these objects and morphisms is denoted by $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Grothendieck site. A *sheaf on* $\mathcal{X}$ *with values in* $\mathcal{D}$, or a $\mathcal{D}$*-valued sheaf*, is a presheaf $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that, for every object $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the presheaf of sets $U \mapsto \mathcal{D}(D, \mathcal{F}(U))$ is a sheaf. Let $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ whose objects are sheaves. We will denote by $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ the inclusion functor. If $\mathcal{D}$ has products, then

$\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf if and only if, for every object U and every covering S of U, diagram (3.1.1) is an equalizer in $\mathcal{D}$ ([McL], V.4.1).

3.2.2. We can also define the direct image functor for presheaves with values in an arbitrary category $\mathcal{D}$: if $f : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a morphism of sites, $f_* : \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D})$ is defined on objects $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \circ f^{-1}$ and we have the following elementary result.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let* $f : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ *be a morphism of sites and* $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$. *Then* $f_*\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D})$.

Proof. By definition, $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ means that for every object $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the presheaf $\mathcal{D}(D, \mathcal{F}(-))$, $U \mapsto \mathcal{D}(D, \mathcal{F}(U))$ is a sheaf of sets on $\mathcal{X}$. Hence, $f_*(\mathcal{D}(D, \mathcal{F}(-))) = \mathcal{D}(D, (\mathcal{F} \circ f^{-1})(-))$ is a sheaf of sets on $\mathcal{Y}$ for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Thus, $f_*(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F} \circ f^{-1}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-valued sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}$. □

Hence, for any morphism of sites $f : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, we also have an induced direct image functor for $\mathcal{D}$-valued sheaves, $f_* : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D})$ for any $\mathcal{D}$. In other words, the continuity of a functor for $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{Set}$ implies its continuity for all coefficient categories $\mathcal{D}$.

3.2.3. We have an obvious *evaluation functor*

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{X} \times \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\ , \qquad (U, \mathcal{F}) \mapsto \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(U)\ .$$

If $\mathcal{X}$ has a terminal object (which we insist on noting) X, then we have a *global sections functor* $\Gamma(X, -) : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(X)$. For instance, a topological space X is itself a terminal object of the associated site $\mathbf{Open}(X)$.

3.2.4. Next we construct a natural, objectwise, descent structure for sheaves on a site $\mathcal{X}$ with values in a descent category $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$.

Definition 3.2.2. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a category with a distinguished class of morphisms E. We will say that a morphism of (pre)sheaves $f : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ with values in $\mathcal{D}$ is a *global equivalence* if for any object $U \in \mathcal{X}$ the morphism $f(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ is in E. We will denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the class of global equivalences.

Proposition 3.2.3. *Let* $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ *be a descent category which is assumed to be closed under products. If* $\mathcal{X}$ *is a Grothendieck site, then* $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ *is a descent category with* $\mathbf{s}$ *defined objectwise* $\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)(U) = \mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet(U))$ *and the class of weak equivalences being the global ones.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.1.7 with $I = \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}$ we obtain that $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ inherits from $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ an objectwise descent category structure. So $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{\Delta PrSh}(X, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{PrSh}(X, \mathcal{D})$ is just $(\mathbf{s}\mathcal{F}^\bullet)(U) = \mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet(U))$ and the equivalences $\mathrm{E}^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{S}$ are the global ones. Since $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is assumed to preserve limits, it follows that if $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ is a cosimplicial sheaf, then $\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ is a sheaf. Hence, $\mathbf{s}$ restricts to a functor defined between the categories of sheaves $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{\Delta Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$.

On the other hand, the subcategory $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is closed under products. As $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$, then the natural transformations λ and μ for $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ are also natural transformations in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$. Thus, it is clear that the hypotheses of the Transfer Lemma 2.1.6 are verified taking as ψ the inclusion functor $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$. □

3.3. **The cosimplicial Godement resolution.** The classical cosimplicial Godement resolution makes sense for sheaves with values in categories with filtered colimits and arbitrary products.

3.3.1. If $\mathcal{D}$ is a category closed under products and filtered colimits and x is a point of the site $\mathcal{X}$, then $x^* : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $x_* : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ may be defined by the formulas (3.1.2) and (3.1.3). That is, for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}$, write

$$x^*\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_x = \underset{\longrightarrow}{\operatorname{colim}}\,_{(U,u)}\mathcal{F}(U) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (x_*D)(U) = \prod_{u \in x^*(\mathbf{e}U)} D_u \ ,$$

where (U,u) runs over the opposite category of neighbourhoods of x and $D_u = D$ for all u.

Proposition 3.3.1. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a category closed under products and filtered colimits, and let x be a point of the site $\mathcal{X}$. Then, the functors x_*, x^* define a pair of adjoint functors*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}) \arrow[r, shift left, "x^*"] & \mathcal{D} \arrow[l, shift left, "x_*"] \end{tikzcd} \quad , \qquad \mathcal{D}(x^*\mathcal{F}, D) = \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})(\mathcal{F}, x_*D) \ .$$

Proof. First of all, let us see that x_*D is indeed a $\mathcal{D}$-valued sheaf. By definition, $x_*D \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ means that for every object $D' \in \mathcal{D}$ the presheaf $\mathcal{D}(D', x_*D(-))$, $U \mapsto \mathcal{D}(D', x_*D(U))$ is a sheaf of sets on $\mathcal{X}$. But $\mathcal{D}(D', x_*D(-)) = (x_*\mathcal{D}(D',D))(-)$, hence it is a sheaf of sets as required. The fact that (x_*, x^*) is a pair of adjoint functors may be easily proved by checking that the universal morphism $\eta^x : \mathrm{id} \longrightarrow x_*x^*$ valid for $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{Set}$ still works for general $\mathcal{D}$. □

3.3.2. Consequently, for a given set X of enough points of the site $\mathcal{X}$, formulas (3.1.4) also make sense for coefficients in $\mathcal{D}$ and define a pair of adjoint functors

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}) \arrow[r, shift left, "p^*"] & \mathcal{D}^X \arrow[l, shift left, "p_*"] \end{tikzcd} \quad , \qquad \mathcal{D}^X(p^*\mathcal{F}, D) = \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})(\mathcal{F}, p_*D) \ .$$

In case where $\mathcal{X}$ is the site associated with a topological space X, we may take as a set of enough points the underling set of X, and the resulting adjoint pair (p^*, p_*) agrees with the one induced by the continuous map $p : X_{\mathrm{dis}} \longrightarrow X$, where X_{dis} is X with the discrete topology.

3.3.3. Let $\mathbf{T} = (T, \eta, \nu)$ denote the triple associated with this adjoint pair of functors. Its underlying functor $T = p_*p^* : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ is given by

$$T(\mathcal{F})\,(U) = \prod_{x\in X}(x_*x^*\mathcal{F})(U) = \prod_{x\in X}\ \prod_{u\in x^*(\mathbf{e}U)} (x^*\mathcal{F})_u \ ,$$

where $(x^*\mathcal{F})_u = x^*\mathcal{F}$ for all $u \in x^*(\mathbf{e}U)$. The natural transformation $\eta : \mathrm{id} \longrightarrow T$ is the unit of the adjunction, while $\nu : T^2 \longrightarrow T$ is by definition $\nu_{\mathcal{F}} = p_*(\varepsilon_{p^*\mathcal{F}})$, where $\varepsilon : p^*p_* \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$ is the counit of the adjunction.

The standard construction associated with the triple $\mathbf{T} = (T, \eta, \nu)$ gives a cosimplicial object $G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{\Delta Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ with $G^p(\mathcal{F}) = T^{p+1}(\mathcal{F})$. The resulting functor

$$G^\bullet : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Delta Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$$

is nothing else than the *canonical cosimplicial resolution of Godement* ([Go]). For every sheaf $\mathcal{F}$, the natural transformation $\eta : \mathrm{id} \longrightarrow T$ defines a coaugmentation $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$, that we also denote by $\eta_{\mathcal{F}}$.

We will repeatedly use the following well-known property of $G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$, that holds for each cosimplicial construction associated with an adjoint pair.

Lemma 3.3.2. *The natural coaugmentation* $\eta : \mathrm{id} \longrightarrow G^\bullet$ *is such that* $p^*(\eta_{\mathcal{F}})$ *and* $\eta_{p_*(D)}$ *have an extra degeneracy for each sheaf* $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ *and each object* $D \in \mathcal{D}^X$.

4. CARTAN-EILENBERG CATEGORIES OF SHEAVES

In this section we study under which conditions the Godement cosimplicial resolution deserves to be called a 'resolution'. More precisely, we provide conditions equivalent to the fact that the Godement resolution of $\mathcal{F}$ produces a CE-fibrant model, by means of other properties such as Thomason's descent, or a weak commutation of the simple functor with stalks.

4.1. **Cartan-Eilenberg fibrant sheaves.**

4.1.1. Let $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ be a descent category.

Definition 4.1.1. We say that filtered colimits and arbitrary products in $\mathcal{D}$ are E-*exact* if:

1. for any filtered category I and any natural transformation $\varphi : F \longrightarrow G$ between functors $F, G : I \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that $\varphi_i \in \mathrm{E}$ for every $i \in I$, we have $\underrightarrow{\mathrm{colim}}_i \varphi_i \in \mathrm{E}$; and
2. for every arbitrary family of morphisms $\{\varphi_i : F_i \longrightarrow G_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\varphi_i \in \mathrm{E}$ for every $i \in I$, we have $\prod_{i \in I} \varphi_i \in \mathrm{E}$.

In what follows, the site $\mathcal{X}$ and the descent category $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ are assumed to verify the following hypotheses:

(G0) $\mathcal{X}$ is a Grothendieck site with a family X of enough points.
(G1) $\mathcal{D}$ is closed under filtered colimits and arbitrary products. (4.1.1)
(G2) Filtered colimits and arbitrary products in $\mathcal{D}$ are E-exact.

4.1.2. In sheaf theory the notion of weak equivalence that best reflects the homotopical behaviour of sheaves and the topology of the Grothendieck site is the one of local equivalence, defined stalkwise rather than objectwise.

Definition 4.1.2. A morphism of sheaves $f : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a *local equivalence* if for any point $x \in X$ the morphism $x^* f : x^*\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow x^*\mathcal{G}$ belongs to E. We will denote by $\mathcal{W}$ the class of local equivalences of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$.

The following properties of the classes of global and local equivalences are immediate consequences of assumptions (4.1.1).

Lemma 4.1.3. *The classes* $\mathcal{S}$ *and* $\mathcal{W}$ *of global and local equivalences are saturated. In addition:*

(1) *$p_*(\mathrm{E}) \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{W} = (p^*)^{-1}\mathrm{E}$.*
(2) *$T(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{W}$.*
(3) *Arbitrary products of sheaves are $\mathcal{S}$-exact.*

Since every global equivalence is a local one, $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is then equipped with two classes of strong (global) and weak (local) equivalences as in Section 2.2. Therefore it makes sense to talk about CE-fibrant sheaves:

Definition 4.1.4. A sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is *Cartan-Eilenberg fibrant* if for any solid diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "w"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \mathcal{G}' \arrow[dl, dotted, "g"] \\
\mathcal{F} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with w a local equivalence and f a morphism of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$, there exists a unique morphism g of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$ making the triangle commutative.

Example 4.1.5. It is easy to see that $T(\mathcal{F})$ is a CE-fibrant sheaf for any $\mathcal{F}$. This tell us that there is a significant number of CE-fibrant sheaves. However, the class $\{T(\mathcal{F})\}$ fails in general to contain enough CE-fibrant sheaves: for the case of positive complexes of abelian sheaves on a topological space X, if any sheaf is locally equivalent to $T(\mathcal{F})$ for some $\mathcal{F}$ then X would have cohomological dimension equal to zero.

4.2. **The hypercohomology sheaf.** Next we make use of the Godement cosimplicial resolution to produce a wider class of CE-fibrant sheaves: the one consisting of the hypercohomology sheaves.

4.2.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a site and $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ a descent category satisfying assumptions (4.1.1). Recall that, by Proposition 3.2.3, $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S})$ is a descent category with simple functor $\mathbf{s}$ defined objectwise. It follows from Theorem 2.1.3 that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}] \arrow[r, shift left, "c"] & \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}] \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathbf{s}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is an adjoint pair. In other words, $\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ is the homotopy limit of the cosimplicial diagram of sheaves $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$. Accordingly to [Th], we make the following definition.

Definition 4.2.1. The *hypercohomology sheaf* of $\mathcal{X}$ with values in $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is the image under the simple functor of the Godement cosimplicial resolution of $\mathcal{F}$,

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{s}G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \ .$$

The natural coaugmentation $\eta_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow G^\bullet\mathcal{F}$ may be seen as a cosimplicial morphism $\eta_{\mathcal{F}} : c(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$. The adjoint morphism of $\eta_{\mathcal{F}}$ through $(c, \mathbf{s})$ is then

$$\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$$

More explicitly, $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the natural morphism of sheaves obtained as the composition of $\mathbf{s}(\eta_{\mathcal{F}}) : \mathbf{s}c(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ with $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}c(\mathcal{F})$, given by the descent structure on $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S})$.

Thomason extensively studied those presheaves of fibrant spectra for which the morphism $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a global equivalence. Such an $\mathcal{F}$ was said to satisfy descent with respect to the site.

Definition 4.2.2. A sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is said to satisfy *Thomason's descent* if the natural morphism $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is in $\mathcal{S}$.

4.2.2. To study the properties of the hypercohomology sheaf $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$, we need to understand the behaviour of the descent structure $(\mathbf{s}, \mu, \lambda)$ on $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ with respect to the Godement pair $(p^*, p_*, \eta, \varepsilon)$. On the one hand, the comparison of $\mathbf{s}$ with p_* presents no difficulty: since p_* only involves products and $\mathbf{s}$ commutes with limits, $p_*\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}p_*$.

On the other hand, although p^* does not commute in general with simple functors, we still do have a natural comparison morphism

$$\theta_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet} : p^*\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}p^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \tag{4.2.1}$$

defined as the adjoint through (p^*, p_*) of $\mathbf{s}(\eta_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}) : \mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}T(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \mathbf{s}p_*p^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = p_*\mathbf{s}p^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ for each cosimplicial sheaf $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$. Applying p_* to (4.2.1), we obtain the natural transformation

$$\theta'_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet} : T\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}T(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$$

adjoint to the composition $p^*p_*p^*\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{p^*\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)}} p^*\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\theta_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}} \mathbf{s}p^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$.

The next technical lemma summarizes the compatibility relations between the descent structure on $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ and the Godement pair which will be needed later on.

Lemma 4.2.3. *The diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \arrow[rr, "\mathbf{s}(\eta_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet})"] \arrow[dr, "\eta_{\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)}"'] & & \mathbf{s}T(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \\
& T\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \arrow[ur, "\theta'_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
T^2\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \arrow[r, "T(\theta'_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet})"] \arrow[d, "\nu_{\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)}"] & T\mathbf{s}T(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \arrow[r, "\theta'_{T(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)}"] & \mathbf{s}T^2(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \arrow[d, "\mathbf{s}(\nu_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet})"] \\
T\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \arrow[rr, "\theta'_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}"] & & \mathbf{s}T(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)
\end{tikzcd}
\tag{4.2.2}$$

are commutative in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$. *In addition, the diagrams below are commutative, respectively, in* $\mathcal{D}^X[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ *and* $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p^*(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, "\lambda_{p^*(F)}"] \arrow[dr, "p^*(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}})"'] & \mathbf{s}cp^*(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{s}p^*c(\mathcal{F}) \\
& p^*\mathbf{s}c(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[u, "\theta_{c(\mathcal{F})}"']
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
T(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, "\lambda_{T(F)}"] \arrow[dr, "T(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}})"'] & \mathbf{s}cT(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{s}Tc(\mathcal{F}) \\
& T\mathbf{s}c(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[u, "\theta'_{c(\mathcal{F})}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The commutativity of diagrams (4.2.2) may be checked by an easy adjunction argument. On the other hand, note that since $p_*(\mathrm{E}) \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $p^*(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathrm{E}$,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}] \arrow[r, shift left, "p^*"] & \mathcal{D}^X[\mathrm{E}^{-1}] \arrow[l, shift left, "p_*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is again an adjoint pair after localizing. The image of $\theta_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet} : p^*\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}p^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ in $\mathcal{D}^X[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ is then also the canonical morphism induced by the adjunction (p^*, p_*) at the localized level. But $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}^X[\mathrm{E}^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^X[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ is right adjoint to the constant functor, and it follows formally that

$\theta_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet} : p^*\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}p^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ coincides with the adjoint morphism through $(c, \mathbf{s})$ of the natural map $p^*(\epsilon_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}) : p^*c\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = c\,p^*\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \longrightarrow p^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$, where $\epsilon_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}$ is the unit of $(c, \mathbf{s})$. This in turn implies that the two remaining diagrams are commutative as claimed. ☐

4.2.3. The properties of the natural comparison transformation (4.2.1) imply the following lemma, which will be of use for the next results.

Lemma 4.2.4. *Assume that* $\mathcal{X}$ *and* $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ *satisfy hypotheses* (4.1.1). *If so, for any sheaf* $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ *the following conditions hold:*

(1) $T(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}) : T(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow T\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ *has a natural section in* $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$.
(2) $\rho_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})^2 = \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ *has a natural section in* $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$.

Remark 4.2.5. In the proof of the above lemma and other results of this section, we are going to work with bicosimplicial objects. A bicosimplicial object $\{Z^{n,m}\}_{n,m}$ will be denoted by $Z^{\circ,\bullet}$, or by $Z^{\bullet,\circ}$, to distinguish both cosimplicial indexes. To such a bicosimplicial object we may apply the simple functor with respect to $\circ$ or with respect to $\bullet$. The resulting cosimplicial objects will be denoted, respectively, by $\mathbf{s}_\circ Z^{\circ,\bullet}$ and $\mathbf{s}_\bullet Z^{\circ,\bullet}$.

Proof. Given a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$, let us exhibit a natural morphism $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}} : T\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow T(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$ such that $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}T(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathrm{id}$.

Recall that the coaugmentation $\eta_{\mathcal{F}} : c(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ associated with the triple $\mathbf{T} = (T, \eta, \nu)$ is such that $T(\eta_{\mathcal{F}}) : cT(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow TG^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ has an extra degeneracy given by the maps $\{\nu_{T^n(\mathcal{F})} : T^{n+2}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow T^{n+1}(\mathcal{F})\}_{n\geq 0}$. In particular,

$$\nu' = \{\nu_{\mathcal{F}}\, \nu_{T(\mathcal{F})} \,\cdots\, \nu_{T^n(\mathcal{F})}\}_{n\geq 0} : TG^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow cT(\mathcal{F})$$

is a natural cosimplicial morphism such that $\nu'\,T(\eta_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathrm{id}$. Then $\mathbf{s}(\nu')\,\mathbf{s}T(\eta_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathrm{id}$. Define $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ as the composition

$$T\mathbf{s}G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\theta'_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}} \mathbf{s}TG^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{s}(\nu')} \mathbf{s}cT(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\lambda^{-1}_{T(\mathcal{F})}} T(\mathcal{F}) \ .$$

Since θ' is a natural transformation, then $\theta'_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}\,T\mathbf{s}(\eta_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathbf{s}T(\eta_{\mathcal{F}})\,\theta'_{c(\mathcal{F})}$, so $\mathbf{s}(\nu')\,\theta'_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}\,T\mathbf{s}(\eta_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathbf{s}(\nu')\,\mathbf{s}T(\eta_{\mathcal{F}})\,\theta'_{c(\mathcal{F})} = \theta'_{c(\mathcal{F})}$, and

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}\,T(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}) = (\,\lambda^{-1}_{T(\mathcal{F})}\,\mathbf{s}(\nu')\,\theta'_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}\,)\,(\,T\mathbf{s}(\eta_{\mathcal{F}})\,T(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}})\,) = \lambda^{-1}_{T(\mathcal{F})}\,\theta'_{c(\mathcal{F})}\,T(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}) = \lambda^{-1}_{T(\mathcal{F})}\,\lambda_{T(\mathcal{F})} = \mathrm{id} \ .$$

To see (2), note that the canonical morphism θ' may be iterated to $\theta'^{n}_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet} : T^{n+1}\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}T^{n+1}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$, with $(\theta')^{n+1}_{c\mathcal{F}}\,T^{n+1}(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}) = \lambda_{T^{k+1}\mathcal{F}}$. Explicitly, $(\theta')^{n}_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}$ is given by the composition

$$T^{n+1}\mathbf{s}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{T(\theta'^{n-1}_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet})} T\mathbf{s}T^n(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\theta'_{T^n(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)}} \mathbf{s}T^{n+1}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \ .$$

Since the diagrams (4.2.2) are commutative, it follows that $(\theta')^\circ : G^\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\circ(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ is a cosimplicial morphism. In addition, using the fact that $\theta'_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}\,\eta_{\mathbf{s}_\bullet(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)} = \mathbf{s}_\bullet(\eta_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet})$ it may be proved by induction that $\theta'^{\circ}_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}\,\eta^\circ_{\mathbf{s}_\bullet(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)} = \mathbf{s}_\bullet(\eta^\circ_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet})$. In particular, for $\mathcal{F}^\bullet = G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ we obtain the commutative diagram of cosimplicial sheaves

$$\begin{tikzcd}
c^\circ \mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[rr, "\mathbf{s}_\bullet(\eta^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})"] \arrow[dr, "\eta^\circ_{\mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}"'] & & \mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\circ G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \ . \\
& G^\circ \mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[ur, "\theta'^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, applying the simple functor we deduce that $\mathbf{s}_\circ(\theta'^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})\,\mathbf{s}_\circ(\eta^\circ_{\mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}) = \mathbf{s}_\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet(\eta^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})$. Assume it proved that $\mathbf{s}_\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet(\eta^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}) \in \mathcal{S}$. In this case,

$$\phi = \mathbf{s}_\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet(\eta^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})\,\lambda_{\mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})} = \mathbf{s}_\circ(\theta'^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})\,\mathbf{s}_\circ(\eta^\circ_{\mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})\,\lambda_{\mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})} = \mathbf{s}_\circ(\theta'^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})\,\rho_{\mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F})}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$, so $\sigma_\mathcal{F} = \phi^{-1}\,\mathbf{s}_\circ(\theta'^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})$ is a section of $\rho_{\mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F})}$. To finish, it remains to be shown that $\mathbf{s}_\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet(\eta^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}) \in \mathcal{S}$. By Lemma 2.1.5, this happens if and only if $\mathbf{s}_\bullet\mathbf{s}_\circ(\eta^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}) \in \mathcal{S}$. For a fixed $n \geq 0$, the coaugmentation $\eta^\circ_{G^n(\mathcal{F})} = \eta^\circ_{T^{n+1}(\mathcal{F})} : c^\circ T^{n+1}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow G^\circ T^{n+1}(\mathcal{F})$ has an extra degeneracy. Hence, by Lemma 2.1.4 we infer that $\mathbf{s}_\circ(\eta^\circ_{G^n(\mathcal{F})})$ is in $\mathcal{S}$ for each $n \geq 0$. But then it follows from axiom (S4) that $\mathbf{s}(n \to \mathbf{s}_\circ(\eta^\circ_{G^n(\mathcal{F})})) = \mathbf{s}_\bullet\mathbf{s}_\circ(\eta^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}) \in \mathcal{S}$ as required. □

4.2.4. The class $\mathcal{W}$ of local equivalences is by definition equal to $(p^*)^{-1}\mathrm{E}$. Below we prove that $\mathcal{W} = T^{-1}\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}^{-1}\mathcal{S}$ as well.

Proposition 4.2.6. *Assume that* $\mathcal{X}$ *and* $(\mathcal{D},\mathrm{E})$ *satisfy the hypotheses* (4.1.1). *Then, for a morphism* $f : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ *of sheaves, the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. f *is a local equivalence.*
2. $T(f) : T(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow T(\mathcal{G})$ *is a global equivalence.*
3. $\mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}(f) : \mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{G})$ *is a global equivalence.*

Proof. (1) implies (2) since $T(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathcal{S}$. Conversely, if $T(f)$ is a global equivalence, it is in particular a local one, so $p^*T(f) \in \mathrm{E}$. On the other hand, it follows from the triangle identities of the adjoint pair (p^*, p_*) that $p^*(f)$ is a retract of $p^*T(f) = p^*p_*p^*(f)$. But E being saturated, it is closed under retracts, and we deduce that $p^*(f) \in \mathrm{E}$ as well. But this is the same as saying that $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Therefore, (1) and (2) are equivalent.

Let us see that (2) implies (3). Assume that $T(f) \in \mathcal{S}$. Since $T(\mathcal{S}) \subset T(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathcal{S}$, then $G^n(f) = T^{n+1}(f) \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $n \geq 0$, and it follows from (S4) that $\mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}(f) = \mathbf{s}G^\bullet(f) \in \mathcal{S}$ as required. Finally, if $\mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}(f) \in \mathcal{S}$ then also $T\mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}(f) \in \mathcal{S}$. By Lemma 4.2.4 $T(f)$ is a retract of $T\mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}(f)$, so $T(f) \in \mathcal{S}$ and (2) and (3) are equivalent as well. □

4.2.5. As announced, we deduce that the hypercohomology sheaf is always CE-fibrant.

Proposition 4.2.7. *Assume that* $\mathcal{X}$ *and* $(\mathcal{D},\mathrm{E})$ *satisfy hypotheses* (4.1.1). *Then, for any sheaf* $\mathcal{F}$*,* $\mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F})$ *is a CE-fibrant sheaf.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2.6, $\mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathcal{S}$. Hence $\mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}$ may be viewed as a functor $\mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X} : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$. By Lemma 4.2.4, it is equipped with natural transformations $\rho : \mathrm{id} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_\mathcal{X}$ such that $\sigma\,\rho = \mathrm{id}$.

As a first consequence, a morphism $g : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$ is uniquely determined by $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(g)$. Indeed, from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\rho_{\mathcal{G}}"'] & \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, "1"] \arrow[d, "\rho_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})}"'] & \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{G}) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(g)"] & \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})^2 \arrow[ur, "\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

we deduce that $g = \sigma_{\mathcal{F}}\, \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(g)\, \rho_{\mathcal{G}}$ as claimed. Consider now a lifting problem

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "w"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \mathcal{G}' \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where f is a morphism of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$ and w is a morphism of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ that is a local equivalence. Since $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathcal{S}$, given two solutions $g, g' : \mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ of this lifting problem, we would have $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(g) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(f)\,(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(w))^{-1} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(g')$. Hence $g = g'$, and we need only see that there is at least one lifting for the above diagram. But $g = \sigma_{\mathcal{F}}\, \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(f)\,(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(w))^{-1}\, \rho_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})}$ is easily seen to satisfy $g\, w = f$, so we are done. □

4.3. **Characterization.** In view of the last proposition, we conclude that if for any sheaf $\eta_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ were in $\mathcal{W}$, then $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ would be a Cartan-Eilenberg category with $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}, \rho)$ as a resolvent functor. Below we show that this fact is indeed equivalent to two other conditions: one of them is Thomason's descent property for hypercohomology sheaves, while the other one consists of a weak commutation between the simple functor with stalks.

4.3.1. Let us state precisely what we mean by the later condition.

Definition 4.3.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Grothendieck site and $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ a descent category. We say that the simple functor *commutes weakly with stalks* if for each sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ the map $\theta_{G^\bullet\mathcal{F}} : p^*\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) = p^*\mathbf{s}G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}p^*G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ in (4.2.1) belongs to E.

Equivalently, $\mathbf{s}$ commutes weakly with stalks if for each point $x \in X$ the canonical map $\theta_{G^\bullet\mathcal{F}}(x) : \mathbf{s}G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})_x \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_x)$ is a weak equivalence.

4.3.2. We can now state and prove our first main result.

Theorem 4.3.2. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Grothendieck site and $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ a descent category satisfying the hypotheses* (4.1.1)*. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *$(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ is a right Cartan-Eilenberg category and for every sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$, $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is a CE-fibrant model.*
2. *For every sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$, $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is in $\mathcal{W}$.*
3. *The simple functor commutes weakly with stalks.*
4. *For every sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$, $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies Thomason's descent; that is, $\rho_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is in $\mathcal{S}$.*

Definition 4.3.3. We say that a descent category $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ is *compatible with the site* $\mathcal{X}$ if the equivalent conditions of this theorem are satisfied.

Remark 4.3.4. As we will see in the examples, this is not necessarily the case for general $\mathcal{X}$ and $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$. Furthermore, it may happen that $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ is indeed a Cartan-Eilenberg category, but the CE-fibrant model of a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ does not agree with $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ in general. The situation is not that bad though, and these inconveniences only occur when $\mathcal{X}$ is "cohomologically big": a suitable finite cohomological dimension hypothesis on $\mathcal{X}$ ensures that the hypercohomology sheaf $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is always a (CE-fibrant) model for $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.3.2. By Proposition 4.2.7 we know that $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is CE-fibrant for any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$. Hence, the equivalence between (1) and (2) is clear. Let us see that (2) and (3) are equivalent. On the one hand, by definition, (2) holds if and only if $p^*(\rho_{\mathcal{F}})$ is in E^X for any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$. On the other hand, the cosimplicial Godement resolution is such that the coaugmentation $p^*\eta_{\mathcal{F}} : cp^*(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow p^*G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ has an extra degeneracy. It then follows from Proposition 3.2.3 and Lemma 2.1.4 that $\mathbf{s}p^*(\eta_{\mathcal{F}})$ belongs to E. Since $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}c(\mathcal{G})$ is also in E for any sheaf $\mathcal{G}$, we have the following commutative diagram in which the arrows decorated with $\sim$ are in E:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p^*(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, "p^*(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}})", "\sim"'] \arrow[dr, "\lambda_{p^*(\mathcal{F})}", "\sim"'] & p^*\mathbf{s}c(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, "p^*\mathbf{s}(\eta_{\mathcal{F}})"] \arrow[d, "\theta_{c(\mathcal{F})}"] & p^*\mathbf{s}G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) = p^*\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[d, "\theta_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}"] \\
 & \mathbf{s}p^*c(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{s}p^*(\eta_{\mathcal{F}})", "\sim"'] & \mathbf{s}p^*G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that the composition of the morphisms in the top row is precisely $p^*(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}) : p^*(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow p^*\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$. By the 2-out-of-3 property, we conclude that $p^*(\rho_{\mathcal{F}})$ is in E if and only if $\theta_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}$ is in E. In other words, (2) and (3) are equivalent.

To finish with, we now show that (4) and (2) are equivalent. Because of Proposition 4.2.6, $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{H}_X^{-1}\mathcal{S}$. Hence, $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is in $\mathcal{W}$ if and only if $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\rho_{\mathcal{F}})$ is in $\mathcal{S}$. It is then enough to check that $\rho_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})}$ is in $\mathcal{S}$ if and only if $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\rho_{\mathcal{F}})$ is. As in the proof of Lemma 4.2.4, the iteration of θ' gives a canonical morphism of cosimplicial objects ${\theta'}^\circ_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet} : G^\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\circ(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ that makes the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathbf{s}_\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\circ c^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{s}_\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\circ(\eta^\bullet_{\mathcal{F}})", "\sim"'] & \mathbf{s}_\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\circ G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) & \mathbf{s}_\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet c^\circ G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[l, "\mathbf{s}_\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet(\eta^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})"', "\sim"] \arrow[dl, "\mathbf{s}_\circ(\eta^\circ_{\mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})"] \\
\mathbf{s}_\circ G^\circ(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[ur, "\mathbf{s}_\circ(\lambda_{G^\circ(\mathcal{F})})", "\sim"'] \arrow[r, "\sim", "\mathbf{s}_\circ G^\circ(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}})"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\rho_{\mathcal{F}})"'] & \mathbf{s}_\circ G^\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet c^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[u, "\mathbf{s}_\circ({\theta'}^\circ_{c^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})"', "\wr"] \arrow[r, "\mathbf{s}_\circ G^\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet(\eta^\bullet_{\mathcal{F}})"'] & \mathbf{s}_\circ G^\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[u, "\mathbf{s}_\circ({\theta'}^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})"] & \mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \arrow[l, "\rho_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})}"'] \arrow[u, "\lambda_{\mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}"', "\wr"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that all the arrows decorated with $\sim$ are global equivalences: for those arrows involving λ this is clear (in particular this is so for $\mathbf{s}_\circ({\theta'}^\circ_{c^\bullet(\mathcal{F})})$). We already proved that $\mathbf{s}_\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet(\eta^\circ_{G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})}) \in \mathcal{S}$, and again using an extra degeneracy argument it readily follows that $\mathbf{s}_\circ\mathbf{s}_\bullet G^\circ(\eta^\bullet_{\mathcal{F}}) \in \mathcal{S}$. Consequently, $\rho_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})} \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}) \in \mathcal{S}$. $\square$

4.3.3. The first consequence of our main theorem is the following characterization of Thomason's descent property.

Corollary 4.3.5. *If* $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ *is compatible with the site* $\mathcal{X}$*, then a sheaf* $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ *satisfies Thomason's descent if and only if it is a CE-fibrant sheaf.*

4.3.4. The existence of an associated sheaf functor, or *sheafification*, $(-)^a : \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ guarantees that the homotopy theory of presheaves is the same as the homotopy theory of sheaves, because the adjoint pair $(-)^a : \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) : \mathbf{i}$ induces an equivalence of categories $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \simeq \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$. To see hypotheses on $\mathcal{D}$ ensuring the existence of $(-)^a$ the reader may consult the appendix.

Although an associated sheaf functor may not exist for $\mathcal{D}$, when $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ is compatible with the site $\mathcal{X}$ the hypercohomology sheaf may be thought of as a 'homotopical' sheafification functor. More precisely, the adjoint pair (p^*, p_*) is also an adjoint pair

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \arrow[r, shift left, "p^*"] & \mathcal{D}^X \arrow[l, shift left, "p_*"] \end{tikzcd}$$

and the induced triple on $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ allows an analogous definition $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{s}G^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ for a presheaf $\mathcal{F}$, which enjoys the same properties as in the sheaf case. In addition, $T(\mathcal{F}) = p_* p^*(\mathcal{F})$ is a sheaf, and so is $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$.

Corollary 4.3.6. *Let* $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ *be a descent category compatible with the site* $\mathcal{X}$*. Then*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}"] & \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathbf{i}"] \end{tikzcd}$$

are inverse equivalences of categories.

Proof. By hypothesis, $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{i}(\mathcal{F})$ is in $\mathcal{W}$, so it is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ for any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$. It remains to be shown that if $\mathcal{F}$ is now a presheaf then $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbf{i}\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is in $\mathcal{W}$. Since $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is a sheaf, $\rho_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})} \in \mathcal{W}$. But $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\rho_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a morphism between CE-fibrant sheaves and hence belongs to $\mathcal{S}$. By the same proof as in Theorem 4.3.2, we infer that $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}) \in \mathcal{S}$ as well. Again, this means that $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a local equivalence as required. □

4.3.5. We have seen that a descent structure on $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ always induces one on $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S})$ defined objectwise. We have another descent structure, though.

Proposition 4.3.7. *Assume that a descent category* $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ *is compatible with the site* $\mathcal{X}$ *and that filtered colimits commute with finite products in* $\mathcal{D}$*. Then,* $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{W})$ *is a descent category with simple functor*

$$\mathbf{s}' = \mathbf{s}\,\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathbf{\Delta Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \ .$$

Proof. The commutation of finite products with filtered colimits guarantees that $\mathcal{W} \prod \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{W}$. The fact that $\mathbf{s}'$ is a simple functor for $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{W})$ may be proved using that $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathcal{S}$ and that $\mathbf{s}$ is a simple functor for $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S})$. □

It follows from the results in [Rod1] that path and loop functors may be constructed for $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}),\mathcal{W})$ in a natural way. They give rise to well behaved fiber sequences, satisfying the usual properties in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$. In particular, $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ is a triangulated category provided that the loop functor is an equivalence of categories.

4.4. **Derived functors for sheaves.**

4.4.1. The second consequence of our characterization of CE-fibrant sheaves, the existence of the right derived direct image functor, follows immediately (cf. [Br, th.6]).

Corollary 4.4.1. *Let* $f:\mathcal{X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{Y}$ *be a continuous functor of Grothendieck sites and* $(\mathcal{D},\mathrm{E})$ *a descent category compatible with the site* $\mathcal{X}$. *Then,* $f_*:\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})\longrightarrow\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{D})$ *admits a right derived functor* $\mathbb{R}f_*:\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]\longrightarrow\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ *given by*

$$\mathbb{R}f_*(\mathcal{F})=f_*\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})\ .$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 4.3.2 and Proposition 2.2.5, we only need to show that f_* sends global equivalences to local equivalences. But this is obvious: if $\varphi:\mathcal{F}\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}\in\mathcal{S}$, then, for every object $V\in\mathcal{Y}$, we have $f_*(\varphi)(V)=\varphi(f^{-1}(V)):\mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V))\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}(f^{-1}(V))\in\mathrm{E}$. So $f_*(\varphi)$ is also a global equivalence and hence, a fortiori, a local one. ☐

If U is an object of X, the same proof works for the U-sections functor $\Gamma(U,-):\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}$ because, by definition, $\Gamma(U,\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{F}(U)$ sends global equivalences in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ to equivalences in $\mathcal{D}$. Hence,

Corollary 4.4.2. *Let* $(\mathcal{D},\mathrm{E})$ *be a descent category compatible with the site* $\mathcal{X}$. *Then* $\Gamma(U,-):\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}$ *admits a right derived functor* $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(U,-):\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ *given by*

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma(U,\mathcal{F})=\Gamma(U,\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}))\ .$$

4.4.2. When $\mathcal{X}$ has a terminal object X, e.g. in case $\mathcal{X}$ is the site associated with a topological space X, *sheaf cohomology* is by definition the right derived functor of the global sections functor $\Gamma(X,-):\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}$. So, under the above assumptions, sheaf cohomology is well defined and agrees with $\Gamma(X,\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}))$.

Following [Ja3], if the coefficient category $\mathcal{D}$ has limits, the notion of global sections functor $\Gamma(\mathcal{X},-):\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}$ generalizes to a general site $\mathcal{X}$, possibly without a terminal object, as:

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F})=\underleftarrow{\lim}_{U\in\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{F}(U)\ .$$

Note that in this case $\Gamma(\mathcal{X},-)$ does not necessarily send a global equivalence to a weak equivalence of $\mathcal{D}$. But, being $(\mathcal{D},\mathrm{E})$ a descent category in which arbitrary products are E-exact, the right derived functor of $\underleftarrow{\lim}_{\mathcal{X}}:\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{X}}\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}$ exists, and is given by the composition of the simple functor with the cosimplicial replacement $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{X}}\longrightarrow\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}$ (see [Rod2]). The resulting functor $\underleftarrow{\mathrm{holim}}_{\mathcal{X}}:\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}$ sends global equivalences to weak ones; hence, it admits a right derived functor $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ that may be seen to agree with the right derived functor of $\Gamma(\mathcal{X},-)$. That is, $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{X},-) : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}[\mathrm{E}^{-1}]$ exists and is given by

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F}) = \underset{\longleftarrow}{\mathrm{holim}}\,_{U\in\mathcal{X}}\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})(U) \ .$$

4.4.3. Recall that when there is a sheafification functor then $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ is complete (resp. cocomplete) when $\mathcal{D}$ is. A homotopical version of this fact is that when $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a 'homotopical' sheafification functor (that is, when $(\mathcal{D},\mathrm{E})$ is compatible with the site $\mathcal{X}$) then $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}),\mathcal{W})$ is homotopically complete, and homotopically cocomplete provided $(\mathcal{D},\mathrm{E})$ is.

The key points to seeing this are that the resolvent functor $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}},\rho)$ is also a resolvent functor for presheaves, and that it may be lifted to diagram categories: for each small category I, $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}},\rho)$ induces objectwise a resolvent functor on $(\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})^I = \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}^I),\mathcal{S},\mathcal{W})$. This in turn implies that there is an adjunction natural in I

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})^I[\mathcal{S}^{-1}] \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathbf{id}"] & \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})^I[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}"] \simeq \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})^I[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \end{tikzcd}$$

where the right adjoint $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is fully faithful. This natural adjunction then transfers homotopy limits and colimits existing for $(\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}),\mathcal{S}) = (\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{X}},\mathrm{E}^{\mathcal{X}})$ to $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$. In particular $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}),\mathcal{W})$ is homotopically complete and

$$\underset{\longleftarrow}{\mathrm{holim}}\,_I^{(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}),\mathcal{W})} = \underset{\longleftarrow}{\mathrm{holim}}\,_I^{(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}),\mathcal{S})}\,\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}} \ .$$

5. Examples

In this section we show how the above results apply to most classic examples of categories of sheaves. More concretely, we will prove that a finite cohomological dimension assumption on the site $\mathcal{X}$ guarantees its compatibility with the natural descent structures seen on categories of coefficients $\mathcal{D}$ such as complexes, simplicial sets and spectra. Consequently, from the results of the previous section we conclude that for such $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ we have:

- For every sheaf $\mathcal{F}$, the natural arrow $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is a fibrant model of $\mathcal{F}$. Or, what amounts to the same, $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}),\mathcal{S},\mathcal{W})$ is a CE-category with resolvent functor $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}},\rho)$.
- The localized category $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ is naturally equivalent to $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})_{\mathrm{fib}}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$.
- The CE-fibrant objects of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ are precisely those sheaves satisfying Thomason's descent.
- Derived sections $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(U,-)$ and derived direct image functor $\mathbb{R}f_*$ may be computed by precomposing with $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}$.
- The hypercohomology sheaf $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a 'homotopical' sheafification functor that gives an equivalence $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \simeq \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$.

5.1. **Bounded complexes of sheaves.**

5.1.1. Consider the descent category structure on the category of uniformly bounded cochain complexes $\mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$ described in example 2.1.9.

In this case the simple functor is $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{Tot}^{\prod} = \mathbf{Tot}^{\oplus} : \mathbf{\Delta C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$ by the boundedness assumption. The category of sheaves of uniformly bounded cochain complexes $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A}))$ is a descent category where the weak equivalences are the global equivalences and the simple functor is the total-sum functor applied objectwise: $(\mathbf{Tot}\mathcal{F})(U) = \mathbf{Tot}(\mathcal{F}(U))$.

It follows that $\mathbf{s}$ commutes in this case with all colimits, since it is defined degree-wise through a finite direct sum. Hence, $\mathbf{s}$ commutes trivially with stalks. Therefore, we deduce from Theorem 4.3.2

Theorem 5.1.1. *Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian category satisfying $(AB4)^*$ and $(AB5)$ (that is, arbitrary products and filtered colimits exist and are exact). Then, the descent category $\mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$ is compatible with any site $\mathcal{X}$. In particular, properties 5 hold for $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$.*

In this case a local equivalence $f \in \mathcal{W}$ is just a quasi-isomorphism of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}))$. On the other hand, a global equivalence $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is a morphism $f : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of complexes of sheaves such that $f(U)$ is a quasi-isomorphism of $\mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$ for each object $U \in \mathcal{X}$.

Consequently, a functor $\mathrm{F} : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ sending global equivalences to isomorphisms admits a right derived functor $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{F} : \mathcal{D}^{\geq b}(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})) = \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A}))[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ given by $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{F}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{F}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}))$. Note that this derivability criterion does not assume the existence of enough injectives in $\mathcal{A}$. Particularly, for the case $\mathcal{A} = R-$modules, we recover the classic construction of abelian sheaf hypercohomology and derived direct image of sheaves constructed through canonical Godement resolutions by flasque sheaves.

5.2. **Cosimplicial sheaves in abelian categories.**

5.2.1. Again, the Dold-Kan correspondence gives an equivalence between bounded complexes of sheaves and $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{\Delta Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$. Using the descent structure of Example 2.1.10, the induced simple functor on sheaves is the diagonal $\mathrm{D} : \mathbf{\Delta\Delta Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Delta Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$, which clearly commutes with all colimits, in particular filtered ones. Hence, we deduce analogously

Theorem 5.2.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian category satisfying $(AB4)^*$ and $(AB5)$. Then, the descent category $\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{A}$ is compatible with any site $\mathcal{X}$. In particular, properties 5 hold for $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$.*

5.3. **Unbounded complexes of sheaves.**

5.3.1. When the boundedness assumption on complexes of sheaves is dropped, Theorem 5.1.1 is not longer true for a general site $\mathcal{X}$, even in the case $\mathcal{A} = R-$modules.

Consider the category $\mathbf{C}^*(R)$ of unbounded cochain complexes of R-modules with the descent structure of example 2.1.11. In this case, the simple functor $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{Tot}^{\prod} : \mathbf{\Delta C}^*(R) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^*(R)$ is an infinite product degree-wise, and consequently it does not commute (even weakly) with filtered colimits. This in turn means that the hypercohomology sheaf $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ associated with an unbounded complex $\mathcal{F}$ of sheaves of R-modules does not necessarily produce a CE-fibrant model for $\mathcal{F}$ in $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^*(R)), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$, for a general site $\mathcal{X}$.

Example 5.3.1. To illustrate this fact, consider a family $\{\mathcal{F}^{-k}\}_k$ of abelian sheaves for which $(\prod_{k>0} \mathrm{H}^k(-, \mathcal{F}^{-k}))_x \neq 0$ (for instance those described in [We, A.5] or [MV, 1.30]). Then construct the complex of sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ with zero differential that is 0 in positive degrees and equal to $\mathcal{F}^{-k}$ in negative degrees. It is not hard to verify that $\rho_F : F \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is not a quasi-isomorphism in this case, so it does not provide a CE-fibrant model for $\mathcal{F}$.

We remark however that $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^*(R)), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ is still a Cartan-Eilenberg category for any site $\mathcal{X}$: K-injective complexes of sheaves are easily seen to be CE-fibrant, and by [Sp] each complex of sheaves is locally equivalent to some K-injective one (see also [We], appendix). Hence the CE-fibrant model of an unbounded complex $\mathcal{F}$ of sheaves does not agree in general with its hypercohomology sheaf $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$, unless some extra assumption is imposed on site $\mathcal{X}$.

5.3.2. We are going to show that *finite cohomological dimension* is a sufficient condition for the site $\mathcal{X}$ in order that the hypercohomology sheaf $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}$ produces a resolvent functor for the Cartan-Eilenberg category $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^*(R)), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$.

Recall that a *system of neighbourhoods* for a point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is, by definition, a full cofinal subcategory of the category of neighbourhoods $\mathbf{Nbh}(x)$ of x in $\mathcal{X}$ ([SGA4] 6.8.2).

Definition 5.3.2 ([GS])**.** A site $\mathcal{X}$ is said to have *finite cohomological dimension* if for any point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists $d \geq 0$ and a system Λ of neighbourhoods of x such that for any sheaf of abelian groups $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Ab})$ and any neighbourhood $U \in \Lambda$ it holds that $\mathrm{H}^n(U; \mathcal{F}) = 0$ whenever $n > d$.

For instance, the following sites have finite cohomological dimension:

1. The small Zariski site of a noetherian topological space of finite Krull dimension; e.g., the Zariski site of a noetherian scheme of finite Krull dimension. This follows from Grothendieck's vanishing Theorem ([Har] III, Theorem 2.7).
2. The big Zariski site of a noetherian scheme X of finite Krull dimension consisting of all schemes of finite type over X, or all noetherian schemes of bounded Krull dimension ([GS], page 6).
3. The small site of a topological manifold of finite dimension. This follows from the vanishing Theorem of [KS1].

Theorem 5.3.3. *The descent category* $\mathbf{C}^*(R)$ *is compatible with any finite cohomological dimension site* $\mathcal{X}$*. In this case, properties* 5 *hold for* $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^*(R)), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$*.*

The proof is based on a spectral sequence argument, the *Colimit Lemma*, for which we need some preliminaries. The same spectral sequence argument will also be used in the examples of simplicial sets and spectra.

5.3.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with filtered colimits and I a filtered indexing set. For us "spectral sequence" means a functorial right half-plane cohomological spectral sequence E_* of abelian groups, commuting with filtered colimits: $E_*(\varinjlim_i X_i) = \varinjlim_i E_*(X_i)$.

For an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we say that the spectral sequence $E_*(X)$ is *bounded on the right* if there exists d such that $E_2^{p*}(X) = 0$ for $p > d$. Note that, for conditionally convergent spectral sequences, this implies strong convergence ([Boa], Theorem 7.4). Given a filtered system $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, we say that the family of spectral sequences $\{E_*(X)\}_{i \in I}$ is *uniformly* bounded on the right if there is a fixed d that works for all $i \in I$.

Proposition 5.3.4 (Colimit Lemma)**.** *Assume as given the following data:*

1. *An object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and a filtered system $X_\bullet = \{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ of objects $X_i \in \mathcal{C}$.*
2. *A cone $\{f_i : X_i \longrightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ from the base $X_\bullet$ to the vertex X and, hence, an induced map $f : \underrightarrow{\operatorname{colim}}_i X_i \longrightarrow X$.*

Moreover, assume also that:

1. *The spectral sequences $\{E_* X_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $E_* X$ converge conditionally to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ and H, respectively.*
2. *The spectral sequences $\{E_* X_i\}_{i \in I}$ are uniformly bounded on the right.*
3. *The map $E_r(f) : \underrightarrow{\operatorname{colim}}_i E_r(X_i) \longrightarrow E_r(X)$ is an isomorphism for some $r \geq 0$.*

Then the map $H(f) : \underrightarrow{\operatorname{colim}}_i H_i \longrightarrow H$ is an isomorphism too.

Proof. See [Mit], Proposition 3.3. □

Proof of Theorem 5.3.3. The first filtration of a double complex $K \in \mathbf{C}^{**}(R)$, $F^p(\mathbf{Tot}^{\prod} K)^n = \prod_{s \geq p} K^{s,n-s}$ gives us a conditionally convergent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq}(\mathbf{Tot}^{\prod} K) = H_h^p H_v^q(K) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad H^{p+q}(\mathbf{Tot}^{\prod} K) \ , \quad p \geq 0 \ .$$

By Theorem 4.3.2, to prove that for any sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^*(R))$ it holds that $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is a CE-fibrant model we may equivalently show that the canonical morphism

$$\theta_{\mathcal{F}}(x) : \underrightarrow{\operatorname{colim}}_{(U,u) \in \mathbf{Nbh}(x)} \mathbf{Tot}^{\prod}(G^* \mathcal{F})(U) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Tot}^{\prod} \underrightarrow{\operatorname{colim}}_{(U,u) \in \mathbf{Nbh}(x)} (G^* \mathcal{F})$$

is a *quis* of $\mathbf{C}^*(R)$ for any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ and any point x in the set of enough points X. These colimits may be computed using the neighbourhoods (U, u) in the system of neighbourhoods Λ that exists by assumption.

Therefore, we have an object $\mathbf{Tot}^{\prod} x^*(G^* \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{C}^*(R)$, a filtered system $\Big\{ \mathbf{Tot}^{\prod}(G^* \mathcal{F})(U) \Big\}_{(U,u)}$, where (U, u) runs over all neighbourhoods of x in Λ and the induced map $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$.

Let us verify the hypotheses of the Colimit Lemma: the spectral sequences

$$E_2^{pq}(U) = H_v^p H_h^q((G^* \mathcal{F})(U)) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad H^{p+q}(\mathbf{Tot}^{\prod}(G^* \mathcal{F})(U)) \ , \quad p \geq 0$$

and

$$E_2^{pq}(x) = H_v^p H_h^q((G^* \mathcal{F})_x) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad H^{p+q}(\mathbf{Tot}^{\prod}((G^* \mathcal{F})_x)) \ , \quad p \geq 0$$

converge conditionally.

To compute $E_2^{pq}(U)$ we use that $T : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^*(R)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^*(R))$ commutes with cohomology in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}^*(R))$. At the presheaf level, clearly $H^*(T(F)) = T(H^*(F))$ for any presheaf F, because cohomology in $\mathbf{C}^*(R)$ commutes with products and filtered colimits. Since the stalks of a presheaf G are isomorphic to the ones of its associated sheaf G^a, then $T(G) = T(G^a)$. Hence, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf

$$T(\mathcal{H}^*\mathcal{F}) = T((H^*\mathcal{F})^a) = T(H^*\mathcal{F}) = H^*(T\mathcal{F}) \ .$$

In particular $H^*(T\mathcal{F}) = T(\mathcal{H}^*\mathcal{F})$ is a sheaf, so it agrees with its associated sheaf. Therefore $\mathcal{H}^*(T\mathcal{F}) = H^*(T\mathcal{F}) = T(\mathcal{H}^*\mathcal{F})$, and $\mathcal{H}^*(G^\bullet\mathcal{F}) = G^\bullet(\mathcal{H}^*\mathcal{F})$. We then have, for all $p > d$,

$$E_2^{pq}(U) = H_v^p H_h^q(G^*\mathcal{F})(U) = H^p(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{H}^q G^*\mathcal{F})) = H^p(\Gamma(U, G^*\mathcal{H}^q\mathcal{F})) = H^p(U, \mathcal{H}^q\mathcal{F}) = 0$$

because of the finite cohomological dimension assumption. Finally, already for $r = 0$, we have an isomorphism

$$\underset{\longrightarrow}{\operatorname{colim}}\, E_0^{pq}(U) = \underset{\longrightarrow}{\operatorname{colim}}\, G^p\mathcal{F}^q(U) = (G^p\mathcal{F}^q)_x = E_0^{pq}(x) \ .$$

Hence the Colimit Lemma tells us that

$$H^n(\mathbf{Tot}^{\prod}(G^*\mathcal{F}))_x \longrightarrow H^n\mathbf{Tot}^{\prod}((G^*\mathcal{F})_x)$$

is an isomorphism for all n. □

5.4. **Sheaves of fibrant simplicial sets.**

5.4.1. Let $\mathcal{D} = s\mathbf{S}_f$ with the descent structure of 2.1.12. As in the case of unbounded complexes, the simple functor may not commute weakly with stalks. Again, for this to hold we must either restrict to simplicial sets with vanishing higher homotopy groups, or impose some finiteness assumption on the site $\mathcal{X}$. Here we study the second alternative, showing that $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is a CE-fibrant model for each $\mathcal{F}$ in $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, s\mathbf{S}_f), \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S})$ if and only if $\mathcal{X}$ is a site of finite type in the sense of [MV].

5.4.2. By a theorem of Joyal, the category $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, s\mathbf{S})$ possesses a simplicial model category structure in which all objects are cofibrant and the weak equivalences are the local equivalences [Ja1]. The fibrant objects in this model structure are then defined through a lifting property, and they are objectwise fibrant simplicial sets. Therefore, there is a fibrant replacement functor Ex that takes a simplicial sheaf to a fibrant one, in particular $Ex(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, s\mathbf{S}_f)$.

Given a simplicial sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, s\mathbf{S})$ and $n \geq 0$, let $\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F}$ be the simplicial sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto P^{(n)}\mathcal{F}(U) = \operatorname{Im}\{\mathcal{F}(U) \longrightarrow \operatorname{cosk}_n\mathcal{F}(U)\}$. It is equipped with natural maps

$$\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{P}^{(n+1)}\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F} \ .$$

If the stalks of $\mathcal{F}$ are fibrant simplicial sets, the tower $\{x^*\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F} = P^{(n)}x^*\mathcal{F}\}$ is precisely the Moore-Postnikov tower of $x^*\mathcal{F}$. In this case the natural map $x^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}_{n\geq 0} x^*\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \underset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}_{n\geq 0} x^*\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F}$ is a weak equivalence.

Definition 5.4.1. [MV] A site $\mathcal{X}$ is of *finite type* if for each simplicial sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, s\mathbf{S})$, the natural morphism

$$\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \underset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}_{n\geq 0} Ex(\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F})$$

is a local equivalence.

Theorem 5.4.2. *The descent category* $s\mathbf{S}_f$ *is compatible with site* $\mathcal{X}$ *if and only if* $\mathcal{X}$ *is of finite type. In this case, properties* 5 *hold for* $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, s\mathbf{S}_f), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$.

That is, for the site $\mathcal{X}$, either both of the natural morphisms

$$\underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{holim}}_{n\geq 0} Ex(\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F}) \longleftarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{holim}}_{p\geq 0} G^p\mathcal{F}$$

are local equivalences for all sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ simultaneously, or neither one is.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is a site of finite type. If $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, s\mathbf{S}_f)$, since fibrant objects are preserved by filtered colimits, it holds that $\mathcal{F}$ is locally fibrant. Then, [MV, 1.65] ensures that $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is a local equivalence, so $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is a CE-fibrant model of $\mathcal{F}$.

Conversely, assume that $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is a local equivalence for each $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, s\mathbf{S}_f)$. We must prove that $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{holim}}_{n\geq 0} Ex(\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F})$ is a weak equivalence for each simplicial sheaf $\mathcal{F}$. As in the proof of [MV, 1.37], taking a suitable replacement of the tower $\{\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F}\}$ we can assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is a fibrant sheaf, and in particular that $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, s\mathbf{S}_f)$.

Secondly, to compute $\underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{holim}}_{n\geq 0} Ex(\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F})$ we may take any choice of fibrant replacement Ex. It follows from [MV, 1.59] that $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F})$ is a fibrant simplicial sheaf for all n, so we may equivalently show that $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{holim}}_{n\geq 0} \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F})$ is a weak equivalence.

Again, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a simplicial presheaf then $T(\mathcal{F})$, defined in the same way, is a sheaf and agrees with $T(\mathcal{F}^a)$, where $\mathcal{F}^a$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}^a)$. In particular, $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(P^{(n)}\mathcal{F})$.

Recall that $P^{(n)}\mathcal{F}(U) = (\text{Im}\phi_n)(U)$ where $\phi_n : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \text{cosk}_n\mathcal{F}$, and that cosk_n is given degreewise by a finite limit. Then, since the Godement resolution and the simple functor commute with finite limits and images, we have $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(P^{(n)}\mathcal{F}) = P^{(n)}\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$. In particular, $P^{(n)}\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ is already a sheaf, so

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F}) = P^{(n)}\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) = \widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) \ .$$

As $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is a fibrant simplicial set, so is $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})(U)$. Consequently $\{P^{(n)}\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})(U)\}_n$ is the Moore-Postnikov tower of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})(U)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})(U) \longrightarrow \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{holim}}_{n\geq 0} P^{(n)}\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})(U)$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets. But then

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{holim}}_{n\geq 0} \widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}) = \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{holim}}_{n\geq 0} \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F})$$

is a global equivalence. Composing with the local equivalence $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ we conclude that $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{holim}}_{n\geq 0} \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(\widetilde{P}^{(n)}\mathcal{F})$ is a local equivalence as required. □

Finally, let us remark that finite cohomological dimension implies finite type:

Proposition 5.4.3. *Every finite cohomological dimension site is of finite type.*

Proof. This may be seen as in [MV], Theorem 1.37, or using an spectral sequence argument such as the one given below for spectra, and previously for unbounded complexes. ☐

5.5. **Sheaves of fibrant spectra.**

5.5.1. Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{Sp}_f$ with the descent structure of 2.1.12. Then Theorem 4.3.2 applies and gives a CE structure to $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Sp}_f)$, if $\mathcal{X}$ is subject to our finite cohomological dimension assumption.

Theorem 5.5.1. *The descent category* $\mathbf{Sp}_f$ *is compatible with any finite cohomological dimension site* $\mathcal{X}$. *In this case, properties* 5 *hold for* $(\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Sp}_f), \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$.

Proof. Let $X^\bullet \in \Delta\mathbf{Sp}_f$ be a cosimplicial object of $\mathbf{Sp}_f$. According to [Bous], 2.9 (see also [Hir], remark 18.1.11), we have a conditionally convergent spectral sequence ([Boa])

$$E_2^{pq}(X^\bullet) = \pi^p\pi_q(X^\bullet) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \pi_{q-p}(\underset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}\, X^\bullet) \ , \quad p \geq q \geq 0 \ .$$

As in the case of unbounded complexes, we want to show that the canonical morphism

$$\theta_{\mathcal{F}}(x) : \underset{\longrightarrow}{\operatorname{colim}}_{\, U\in\mathbf{Nbh}(x)} \underset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}_{\, p}(G^p\mathcal{F})(U) \longrightarrow \underset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}_{\, p} \underset{\longrightarrow}{\operatorname{colim}}_{\, U\in\mathbf{Nbh}(x)}(G^p\mathcal{F})(U)$$

is a weak equivalence of $\mathbf{Sp}_f$ for any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ and any point $x \in X$ and the colimit may be computed using the neighbourhoods (U, u) in the system Λ which exists by hypothesis. Let us verify the hypotheses of the Colimit Lemma: the spectral sequences

$$E_2^{pq}(U) = \pi^p\pi_q((G^\bullet\mathcal{F})(U)) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \pi_{q-p}(\underset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}\,(G^\bullet\mathcal{F})(U)) \ , \quad p \geq q \geq 0$$

and

$$E_2^{pq}(x) = \pi^p\pi_q((G^\bullet\mathcal{F})_x) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \pi_{q-p}(\underset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}\,(G^\bullet\mathcal{F})_x) \ , \quad p \geq q \geq 0$$

converge conditionally. Moreover, the Godement cosimplicial resolution commutes with homotopy groups (argue as in the case of cohomology, or see [Th], page 452, formula (1.26)). Hence, for all $p > d$,

$$E_2^{pq}(U) = \pi^p\pi_q((G^\bullet\mathcal{F})(U)) = H^p(\Gamma(U, \pi_q(G^\bullet\mathcal{F}))) = H^p(\Gamma(U, G^\bullet\pi_q(\mathcal{F}))) = H^p(U, \pi_q(\mathcal{F})) = 0 \ .$$

Finally, for $r = 2$, we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\longrightarrow}{\operatorname{colim}}\, E_2^{pq}(U) &= \underset{\longrightarrow}{\operatorname{colim}}\, \pi^p\pi_q((G^\bullet\mathcal{F})(U)) = H^p(\underset{\longrightarrow}{\operatorname{colim}}\,\Gamma(U, \pi_q(G^\bullet\mathcal{F}))) \\ &= \pi^p(\pi_q(G^\bullet\mathcal{F})_x) = \pi^p\pi_q((G^\bullet\mathcal{F})_x) = E_2^{pq}(x) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Colimit Lemma tells us that

$$\pi_{q-p}((\underset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}\, G^\bullet\mathcal{F})_x) \longrightarrow \pi_{q-p}(\underset{\longleftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}\,(G^\bullet\mathcal{F})_x)$$

is an isomorphism for all $p \geq q \geq 0$. ☐

6. DESCENT CATEGORIES WITH PRODUCTS

6.1. **Descent structures and monoidal structures.** The descent structures we have been using so far are adequate as long as we are not dealing with multiplicative structures. In order to include the latter in our presentation, we need to introduce *monoidal* descent categories.

6.1.1. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ be a symmetric monoidal category. We will note by $\tau_{XY} : X \otimes Y \longrightarrow Y \otimes X$ the symmetry isomorphisms. A (lax) *symmetric monoidal functor* between symmetric monoidal categories $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1}), (\mathcal{D}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ is a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ together with a natural transformation (*Künneth morphism*) $\kappa_{XY} : FX \otimes FY \longrightarrow F(X \otimes Y)$ and a morphism of $\mathcal{D}$ (*unit*), $\eta : \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow F\mathbf{1}$, compatible with the associativity, commutativity and unit isomorphisms.

Given a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ and a small category I, the category of functors $\mathcal{C}^I$ inherits a natural symmetric monoidal structure $(\mathcal{C}^I, \times, \mathbf{1}_\bullet)$, defined objectwise: $(X_\bullet \times Y_\bullet)_i = X_i \otimes Y_i$ and $\mathbf{1}_i = \mathbf{1}$, for every $i \in I$. For instance, taking $I = \mathbf{\Delta}$, we obtain the degree-wise monoidal structure on the category of cosimplicial objects on $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 6.1.1. A *symmetric monoidal descent category* is a descent category $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathbf{s}, \mu, \lambda)$ such that:

(M1) $\mathcal{D}$ is a symmetric monoidal category.
(M2) The simple functor $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a symmetric monoidal functor.
(M3) The natural transformations $\lambda : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}c$ and $\mu : \mathbf{ss} \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}\mathrm{D}$ are symmetric monoidal.

6.1.2. The category of cochain complexes $\mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$ over a symmetric monoidal abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ is symmetric monoidal with respect to the usual tensor product of complexes. If we endow $\mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$ with the descent structure of example 2.1.11, it turns out that it is *not* a symmetric monoidal descent category. The reason is that the simple functor $\mathbf{s}$ is monoidal, but it is symmetric only up to homotopy. We know two ways of circumventing this problem:

(a) To restrict ourselves to cochain complexes of vector spaces over a field of characteristic zero (see example (6.1.2) below).
(b) To replace the category of cochain complexes $\mathbf{C}^{\geq b}(\mathcal{A})$ with that of cosimplicial objects $\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{A}$ (see example (6.1.3) below).

Example 6.1.2. Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field of characteristic zero. The category of positively graded cochain complexes $\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k})$ is a symmetric monoidal descent category, taking as equivalences the *quis* of cochain complexes and as simple functor the *Thom-Whitney simple* $\mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{TW}} : \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k})$ ([N], cf [HS]), whose definition we proceed to recall.

Let $L^*_\bullet = \left\{L^*_p\right\}_{p\geq 0}$ be the simplicial commutative dg algebra which in simplicial degree p is the algebra of polynomial differential forms on the hyperplane $\sum_{k=0}^{p} x_k = 0$ of the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{p+1}_{\mathbf{k}}$. It is given in simplicial degree p by

$$L^*_p = \frac{\Lambda(x_0, \ldots, x_p, dx_0, \ldots, dx_p)}{(\sum x_i - 1, \sum dx_i)} \ ,$$

where $\Lambda(x_0, \ldots, x_n, dx_0, \ldots, dx_p)$ is the free commutative dg algebra generated by $\{x_k\}_{k=0,\ldots,n}$ in degree 0 and by $\{dx_k\}_{k=0,\ldots,n}$ in degree 1.

By forgetting the multiplicative structure, $\mathrm{L}^*_\bullet = \left\{L^*_p\right\}_{p\geq 0}$ can be considered also as a simplicial cochain complex. Now, given $V^{\bullet,*}$ in $\Delta\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k})$, the *Thom-Whitney simple* functor of $V^{\bullet,*}$ is the end of the bifunctor $\mathrm{L}^*_\bullet \otimes V^{\bullet,*} : \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}_e \times \mathbf{\Delta}_e \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k})$, $([p],[q]) \mapsto \mathrm{L}^*_p \otimes V^{q,*}$,

$$\mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{TW}}(V^{\bullet,*}) = \int_p L^*_p \otimes V^{p,*} \quad .$$

This is a monoidal functor: the Künneth morphisms $\kappa_{VW} : \mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{TW}}(V)\otimes \mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{TW}}(W) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{TW}}(V\otimes W)$ are induced by the maps $\kappa_p : (\mathrm{L}^*_p \otimes V^{p,*}) \otimes (\mathrm{L}^*_p \otimes W^{p,*}) \longrightarrow (\mathrm{L}^*_p \otimes (V^{p,*} \otimes W^{p,*}))$ defined as $\kappa_p((\omega \otimes v) \otimes (\nu \otimes w)) = (-1)^{|v|\cdot|\nu|}\omega\nu \otimes (v \otimes w)$. Symmetry follows from the commutativity of the product in L^*_p.

As for μ and λ, we have:

- If $Z \in \mathbf{\Delta\Delta C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k})$, $\mu_{TW\,Z} : \mathbf{s}_{TW}\mathbf{s}_{TW} Z \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}_{TW}\mathrm{D}Z = \int_p Z^{p,p} \otimes \mathrm{L}_p$ is induced by the morphisms $Z^{p,p} \otimes \mathrm{L}_p \otimes \mathrm{L}_p \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\tau_p} Z^{p,p} \otimes \mathrm{L}_p$ where $\tau_p : \mathrm{L}_p \otimes \mathrm{L}_p \longrightarrow \mathrm{L}_p$ is the product.
- If $A \in \mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k})$, the morphisms $A \longrightarrow A \otimes \mathrm{L}_n$; $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$ give rise to $\lambda_{TW\,A} : A \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}_{TW}(A \times \mathbf{\Delta})$.

Example 6.1.3. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a symmetric monoidal abelian category, so is $\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{A}$ with the degree-wise induced structure. In addition, it is clearly a symmetric monoidal descent category when equipped with the descent structure of Example 2.1.10.

Example 6.1.4. The category $s\mathbf{S}_f$ of pointed Kan complexes is symmetric monoidal with the *smash product*: $X \wedge Y = (X \times Y)/(X \vee Y)$. This is, in addition, a *symmetric* monoidal descent category, taking as equivalences the weak homotopy equivalences and as simple functor the Bousfield-Kan homotopy limit, which is a *symmetric* monoidal functor (see [Le, 3.4.4, p. 476]). The Künneth morphism $\kappa : \underleftarrow{\mathrm{holim}}\, X \wedge \underleftarrow{\mathrm{holim}}\, Y \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\mathrm{holim}}\,(X \wedge Y)$ is induced by the diagonal embedding $\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{\Delta} \downarrow n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{\Delta} \downarrow n) \times \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{\Delta} \downarrow n)$.

6.2. **Descent categories of operads.**

6.2.1. Let Σ be the *symmetric groupoid*, that is, the category whose objects are the sets $\underline{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 1$, and the only morphisms are $\Sigma(\underline{n}, \underline{n}) = \Sigma_n = \mathrm{Aut}\,\{1, \dots, n\}$.

The category of contravariant functors from Σ to a category $\mathcal{C}$ is called the category of Σ-*modules* and is denoted by $\mathbf{\Sigma Mod}^{\mathcal{C}}$, or just $\mathbf{\Sigma Mod}$ if $\mathcal{C}$ is understood. We identify its objects with sequences of objects in $\mathcal{C}$, $E = (E(l))_{l\geq 1}$, with a right Σ_l-action on each $E(l)$. If E and F are Σ-modules, a *morphism of* Σ-*modules* $f : E \longrightarrow F$ is a sequence of Σ_l-equivariant morphisms $f(l) : E(l) \longrightarrow F(l),\ l \geq 1$.

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ be a symmetric monoidal category. A *unital* Σ-*operad* (an *operad* for short) in $\mathcal{C}$ is a Σ-module P together with a family of *structure morphisms* $\gamma^P_{l;m_1,\dots,m_l} : P(l) \otimes P(m_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes P(m_l) \longrightarrow P(m_1 + \cdots + m_l)$, and unit $\eta : \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow P(1)$, satisfying axioms of equivariance, associativity, and unit (see [MSS], [K]). A *morphism of operads* is a morphism of Σ-modules compatible with structure morphisms. Let us denote by $\mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{C}}$, or simply $\mathbf{Op}$ when $\mathcal{C}$ is understood, the category of operads in $\mathcal{C}$ and its morphisms.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a *closed* symmetric monoidal category with internal hom $[- \,, -]$, for each object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ we have its *operad of endomorphisms*, $\mathrm{End}_X(l) = [X^{\otimes l}, X]$, $l \geq 0$.

Remark 6.2.1. Since the names for our categories are getting really long, everybody will agree if we simply write *monoidal category* for closed symmetric monoidal category and *monoidal descent category* for closed symmetric monoidal (cosimplicial) descent category from now on. We will say *monoidal functor* for symmetric monoidal functor too.

6.2.2. So, first of all we have:

Proposition 6.2.2. *For every monoidal descent category* $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ *its category of operads* $\mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{D}}$ *has a natural descent structure, defined arity-wise. In particular, the weak equivalences are* $\mathbf{Op}^{\mathrm{E}} = \{f : P \longrightarrow Q \mid f(l) : P(l) \longrightarrow Q(l) \in \mathrm{E} \textit{ for all } l \geq 1\}$.

Proof. Every monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ induces a functor between the categories of operads $\mathbf{Op}^{F} : \mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{D}}$, which we still write F, defined on objects by $(FP)(l) = F(P(l))$, and every monoidal natural transformation $\tau : F \longrightarrow G$ induces a natural transformation $\mathbf{Op}^{\tau} : \mathbf{Op}^{F} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{D}}$, which we still write τ, defined by $\tau_P(l) = \tau_{P(l)}$. Thus, because $\mathbf{\Delta Op}^{\mathcal{D}} = \mathbf{Op}^{\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}}$, we have $\mathbf{Op}^{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{Op}^{\mu}, \mathbf{Op}^{\lambda}$ defined for $\mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{D}}$. These data endow $\mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{D}}$ with a descent structure. Indeed, $\mathbf{\Sigma Mod}^{\mathcal{D}}$ is a descent category with the degree-wise structure by Lemma 2.1.7. Finally, since all the ingredients $\mathbf{Op}^{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{Op}^{\mathrm{E}}, \mathbf{Op}^{\mu}, \mathbf{Op}^{\lambda}$ are defined arity-wise, the forgetful functor $\psi : \mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Sigma Mod}^{\mathcal{D}}$ trivially satisfies the hypotheses of Transfer Lemma 2.1.6. $\square$

Example 6.2.3. The categories of dg operads $\mathbf{Op}^{\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k})}$, cosimplicial operads $\mathbf{Op}^{\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{A}}$ and pointed simplicial operads $\mathbf{Op}^{\mathbf{sS}_f}$ are descent categories.

6.3. **Descent categories of operad algebras.** A natural place to derive functors defined on operad algebras is the category of operad algebras over *all* operads. So it is this category that we endow with a descent structure first (see Proposition 6.3.1 below). The case of algebras over a *fixed* operad will be studied later (see Section 7.4). Let us begin by recalling the main definitions of the subject.

6.3.1. Let P be an operad in a monoidal category $\mathcal{D}$. An *operad algebra* is an object $A \in \mathcal{D}$ together with a family of Σ_l-equivariant morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, $\widehat{\alpha}_A(l) : P(l) \otimes_{\Sigma_l} A^{\otimes l} \longrightarrow A$, where the Σ_l-action on the target A is trivial. Equivalently, it is a morphism of operads $\alpha : P \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_A$. Let A, B be two P-algebras. A morphism of P-algebras is a morphism $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ of $\mathcal{D}$ compatible with the P-algebra structure morphisms of A and B. We will write $\mathbf{Alg}_P^{\mathcal{C}}$, or $\mathbf{Alg}_P$ if no confusion can arise, for the category with these objects and morphisms.

Every morphism of operads in $\mathcal{D}$, $f : P \longrightarrow Q$ induces a *reciprocal image functor* between the categories of Q-algebras and P-algebras $f^* : \mathbf{Alg}_Q \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_P$ defined on objects by the compositions $\widehat{\alpha}_B(l) = \widehat{\beta}(l) \circ (f(l) \otimes \mathrm{id})$. Obviously, for every morphism $\varphi : B \longrightarrow B'$ of Q-algebras, $f^*(\varphi) = \varphi : f^*(B) \longrightarrow f^*(B')$ is also a morphism of P-algebras.

Loosely speaking, the category of algebras over *all* operads is the 'union' of all $\{\mathbf{Alg}_P\}_P$. More precisely, let $\mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{D}}$, or $\mathbf{Alg}$ if no confusion can arise, denote the category whose objects are pairs (P, A), where P is an operad in $\mathcal{D}$ and A is a P-algebra. Morphisms are also couples $(f, \varphi) : (P, A) \longrightarrow (Q, B)$, in which $f : P \longrightarrow Q$ is a morphism of operads and $\varphi : A \longrightarrow f^*(B)$ is a morphism of P-algebras. Composition of morphisms is done in the obvious way. $\mathbf{Alg}$ is a *fibered* category ([SGA1]) over the category of operads $\mathbf{Op}$.

6.3.2. Let us show the descent structure that $\mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{D}}$ inherits from the one on $\mathcal{D}$.

Proposition 6.3.1. *For every monoidal descent category* $(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{E})$ *the category* $\mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{D}}$ *of algebras over all operads in* $\mathcal{D}$ *has a natural descent structure, with weak equivalences* $\mathbf{Alg}^{\mathrm{E}} = \{(f, \varphi) : (P, A) \longrightarrow (Q, B) \ \mid \ f \in \mathbf{Op}^{\mathrm{E}}$ *and* $\varphi \in \mathrm{E}\}$.

Proof. Again, every monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ induces a functor between the categories of operad algebras $\mathbf{Alg}^{F} : \mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{D}}$, which we still write F, defined on objects by $F(P, A) = (FP, FA)$, and every monoidal natural transformation $\tau : F \longrightarrow G$ induces a natural transformation $\mathbf{Alg}^{\tau} : \mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{D}}$, which we still write τ, defined by $\tau_{(P,A)} = (\tau_P, \tau_A)$. So, because $\mathbf{\Delta Alg}^{\mathcal{D}} = \mathbf{Alg}^{\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{D}}$, we have $\mathbf{Alg}^{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{Alg}^{\mu}, \mathbf{Alg}^{\lambda}$ defined for $\mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{D}}$. These data endow $\mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{D}}$ with a descent structure. Indeed, $\mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{D}} \times \mathcal{D}$ is a descent category because of Lemma 2.1.8 and since all data are already defined via the forgetful functor $\psi : \mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{D}} \times \mathcal{D}$, ψ trivially satisfies the hypotheses of Transfer Lemma 2.1.6. ☐

Examples 6.3.2. $\mathbf{Alg}^{\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k})}$, $\mathbf{Alg}^{\mathbf{\Delta}\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathbf{Alg}^{s\mathbf{S}_f}$ are descent categories.

7. Products in sheaf cohomology

7.1. **Monoidal structures for sheaves.** The main remark of this section is this: the Godement cosimplicial resolution is a monoidal functor. Hence, multiplicative structures are naturally included in the homotopy theory of sheaves, particularly in its cohomology, through the Godement resolution.

7.1.1. So far we have not used the existence of the associated sheaf, except when the coefficient category was the one of sets or other particular categories of coefficients, such as complexes or spectra, where it is known to exist. But now we are going to make full use of it, mainly because the tensor product of sheaves is not a sheaf. We will also need that filtered colimits commute with tensor products in our category of coefficients $\mathcal{D}$. So let us now write down all the assumptions required henceforth for our categories. All these assumptions hold for our examples.

We will assume that our monoidal categories of coefficients $\mathcal{D}$ satisfy the following hypotheses:

(H0) $\mathcal{D}$ is complete and cocomplete.
(H1) Filtered colimits commute with finite limits and tensor products.
(H2) $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies the IPC property. (See the appendix.)
(H3) Products are monoidal in $\mathcal{D}$.
(7.1.1)

The first three hypotheses guarantee the existence of the *associated sheaf* (see [KS2], Theorem 17.4.7). The fourth one is used in order to prove that the Godement functor is monoidal and perhaps needs some explanation. Let I be any set of indexes. In that case, products define a functor

$$\prod : \mathcal{D}^I \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \ , \qquad (D_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \prod_{i \in I} D_i \ .$$

And the fact that this functor is monoidal means that there is a universal morphism

$$c : \left(\prod_{i \in I} D_i \right) \otimes \left(\prod_{i \in J} D'_j \right) \longrightarrow \prod_{(i,j) \in I \times J} (D_i \otimes D'_j) \ .$$

See [Bour], chapter II, §3, 7 for this universal map in the category of modules.

7.1.2. First, we shall recall a probably well-known result.

Lemma 7.1.1. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Grothendieck site and $\mathcal{D}$ a monoidal category satisfying hypotheses* (7.1.1). *Then $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is also a monoidal category.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$, its tensor product $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) \otimes \mathcal{G}(U)$. As for the inner hom functor, consider the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \int_{V \to U} [\mathcal{F}(V), \mathcal{G}(V)] \ ,$$

where the end is taken over all maps $V \longrightarrow U$ in some sieve of U. Then, the inner hom functor $\mathcal{H}om\,(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is the sheaf associated to this presheaf. □

Next we prove the monoidalness of the two functors appearing in the Godement resolution.

Lemma 7.1.2. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Grothendieck site and $\mathcal{D}$ a monoidal category satisfying hypotheses* (7.1.1). *Then, the pair of adjoint functors*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \arrow[r, shift left, "p^*"] & \mathcal{D}^X \arrow[l, shift left, "p_*"] \end{tikzcd} \quad , \qquad \mathcal{D}^X(p^*\mathcal{F}, D) = \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{F}, p_*D)$$

are monoidal ones.

Proof. Let us show first that the pair of adjoint functors defining points on the Grothendieck site

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \arrow[r, shift left, "x^*"] & \mathcal{D} \arrow[l, shift left, "x_*"] \end{tikzcd} \quad , \qquad \mathcal{D}(x^*\mathcal{F}, D) = \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{F}, x_*D)$$

are monoidal ones. Let us compute:

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes x^*(G) \quad &= \quad \left(\varinjlim_U \mathcal{F}(U) \right) \otimes \left(\varinjlim_U \mathcal{G}(U) \right) \cong \varinjlim_U \left(\mathcal{F}(U) \otimes \mathcal{G}(U) \right) \\ &\longrightarrow \quad \varinjlim_U (\mathcal{F} \otimes G)(U) = x^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Here, the arrow is the one induced by the canonical map between a presheaf and its associated sheaf, $\mathcal{F}(U) \otimes \mathcal{G}(U) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})(U)$, and the isomorphism follows from the assumption that filtered colimits commute with tensor products in $\mathcal{D}$.

As for x_*, we have:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\left((x_*D) \otimes (x_*D')\right)(U) \arrow[ddd, dotted, "\kappa_x"] & \left(\prod_u D_u\right) \otimes \left(\prod_v D'_v\right) \arrow[l, "a"'] \arrow[d, "c"] \\
 & \prod_{(u,v)} \left(D_u \otimes D'_v\right) \arrow[dd, "\pi"] \\
 & \\
x_*(D \otimes D')(U) & \prod_u \left(D_u \otimes D'_u\right) \arrow[l, "a"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here a is the canonical map between a presheaf and its associated sheaf, c is the Künneth map for the product in $\mathcal{D}$, π the natural projection and κ the map induced by the universal property of the associated sheaf.

Monoidalness of $p^* = (\mathcal{F}_x)_{x \in X}$ follows at once. As for $p_*D = \prod_{x \in X} x_*(D_x)$, $D = (D_x)_{x \in X}$, it is enough to notice that, if $\mathcal{D}$ verifies (H3), then so does $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ trivially. Hence, we have the following Künneth map for p_*:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p_*D \otimes p_*D' \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, dotted, "\kappa_p"] & \left(\prod_{x \in X} x_*D\right) \otimes \left(\prod_{y \in X} y_*D'\right) \arrow[r, "c"] & \prod_{x,y} (x_*D) \otimes (y_*D') \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
p_*(D \otimes D') \arrow[r, equal] & \prod_{x \in X} x_*(D \otimes D') & \prod_{x \in X} (x_*D) \otimes (x_*D') \arrow[l, "\kappa_x"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Proposition 7.1.3. *$G^\bullet : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Delta Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is a monoidal functor.*

7.1.3. Finally, in order to have products on the derived direct image and global sections functors, let us remark that we already have them before deriving.

Proposition 7.1.4. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of sites, $\mathcal{D}$ a monoidal category satisfying hypotheses* (7.1.1). *Then $f_* : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D})$ and $\Gamma(U, -) : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ are monoidal functors.*

Proof. Take the universal map between the presheaf and the associated sheaf:

$$\theta_{f^{-1}(V)} : \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V)) \otimes \mathcal{G}(f^{-1}(V)) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})(f^{-1}(V))$$

and analogously for the global sections functor. □

7.2. **Operad and operad algebra sheaves.**

7.2.1. Assume our monoidal category of coefficients $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies hypotheses (7.1.1). So, if $\mathcal{P}$ is a sheaf of operads (an operad of sheaves), we can talk about $\mathcal{P}$-algebras in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ exactly in the same way as we have been doing in $\mathcal{D}$. We will denote as $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}$ the category of (sheaves) of $\mathcal{P}$-algebras.

Examples 7.2.1. See [KM], or [Hin] for an extensive use of operad and operad algebra sheaves.

7.2.2. The following results are immediate consequences of Propositions 7.1.3 and 7.1.4.

Proposition 7.2.2. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of sites, $\mathcal{D}$ a monoidal category satisfying hypotheses* (7.1.1), *$\mathcal{P}$ a sheaf of operads on $\mathcal{X}$ with values in $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ a $\mathcal{P}$-algebra. Then:*

(1) *$f_*\mathcal{P}$ is a sheaf of operads on $\mathcal{Y}$ and $f_*\mathcal{A}$ a $f_*\mathcal{P}$-algebra.*
(3) *For every object $U \in \mathcal{X}$, $\Gamma(U,\mathcal{P})$ is an operad in $\mathcal{D}$ and $\Gamma(U,\mathcal{A})$ a $\Gamma(U,\mathcal{P})$-algebra.*

Corollary 7.2.3. *$G^\bullet\mathcal{P}$ is a cosimplicial sheaf of operads and $G^\bullet\mathcal{A}$ a cosimplicial $G^\bullet\mathcal{P}$-algebra.*

So the cosimplicial Godement resolution defines functors $G^\bullet : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Op}) \longrightarrow \Delta\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Op})$ and $G^\bullet : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Alg}) \longrightarrow \Delta\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Alg})$.

7.3. **Products on derived functors.** Since all the hard work is already behind us, we can now endow $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Op})$ and $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Alg})$ with Cartan-Eilenberg structures and obtain the derived functors of direct images and global sections therein.

Theorem 7.3.1. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a monoidal descent category satisfying hypotheses* (7.1.1) *and compatible with site $\mathcal{X}$. Then $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Op})$ and $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Alg})$ have natural Cartan-Eilenberg structures.*

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 4.3.2 and Corollary 7.2.3. □

Theorem 7.3.2. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a monoidal descent category satisfying hypotheses* (7.1.1) *and compatible with the site $\mathcal{X}$. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of sites. Then the direct image functors $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Op}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y},\mathbf{Op})$ and $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Alg}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y},\mathbf{Alg})$ admit a right derived functor given by $\mathbb{R}f_* = f_*\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}$.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 7.3.1, the proof is completely analogous to the one of Corollary 4.4.1. □

Particularly, if $\mathcal{X}$ has a terminal object X, the global sections functors $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Op}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Op}$ and $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Alg}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}$ admit the right derived functors $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X,-) = \Gamma(X,\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}(-))$.

Remark 7.3.3. Notice that $\mathbb{R}f_*(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{A}) = (\mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{P},\mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{A})$, where the derived functors on the right are $\mathbb{R}f_* : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{D}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y},\mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{D}})$ and $\mathbb{R}f_* : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{D})$. This means that the derived direct image functor can be computed by forgetting the $\mathcal{P}$-algebra structure: it only depends on the sheaf $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$. Another way of saying this: we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{Alg})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \arrow[r, "\mathbb{R}f_*"] \arrow[d, "\psi"] & \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y},\mathbf{Alg})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \arrow[d, "\psi"] \\
\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \arrow[r, "\mathbb{R}f_*"] & \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{D})[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which ψ is induced by the forgetful (and exact) functor $\mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

Nevertheless, as an object in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y},\mathbf{Alg})$, before localizing, $\mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{A}$ has a $\mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{P}$-algebra structure thanks to

$$\mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{P}(l) \otimes (\mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{A})^{\otimes l} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbb{R}f_*\left(\mathcal{P}(l) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\otimes l}\right) \overset{\mathbb{R}f_*\widehat{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(l)}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{A}\ ,\ l \geq 1\ ,$$

where $\mathbb{R}f_*\widehat{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(l)$ are the morphisms induced by the $\mathcal{P}$-algebra structure maps $\widehat{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(l) : \mathcal{P}(l) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\otimes l} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $l \geq 1$, and κ is the Künneth morphism of the monoidal functor $\mathbb{R}f_*$.

Moreover, if we forget the operad structure, as a Σ-module, $\mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{P}$ is just $(\mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{P})(l) = \mathbb{R}f_*(\mathcal{P}(l))$, $l \geq 1$, where $\mathbb{R}f_*$ on the right-hand side is again the derived functor $\mathbb{R}f_* : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D})$, and the operad structure morphisms on $\mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{P}$ are induced by those of $\mathcal{P}$ in the same way as before. Particularly, sheaf cohomology $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A})$ can be computed forgetting the operad algebra structure: it only depends on the sheaf $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ (but it has a structure of $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{P})$-algebra inherited from the $\mathcal{P}$-algebra structure of $\mathcal{A}$).

This is reminiscent of the well-known fact in classical sheaf cohomology (see, for instance, [Har], III Proposition 2.6 and remark 2.6.1) that, if $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a ringed space and $\mathcal{F}$ an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module, $H^n(X; \mathcal{F})$, despite being an $H^n(X; \mathcal{O}_X)$-module, can be computed just with the abelian group structure of $\mathcal{F}$.

7.4. **Algebras over a fixed operad.** In order to streamline the main exposition, we have postponed the case of algebras over a fixed operad, but this poses no greater difficulty than that of algebras over variable operads. In fact, it requires just a little remark about domains and ranges of functors and natural transformations induced on algebras over a fixed operad.

7.4.1. Let $P \in \mathbf{Op}^{\mathcal{D}}$ be a fixed operad. Every monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ induces a functor between the categories of operad algebras $\mathbf{Alg}_P^F : \mathbf{Alg}_P^{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{FP}^{\mathcal{E}}$, which we still write F. Of course it is still true that every monoidal natural transformation $\tau : F \Longrightarrow G$ induces a natural transformation between the induced functors on algebras, but since both have different ranges, perhaps we need to explain what we mean by this: notice that $\tau_P : FP \longrightarrow GP$ is a morphism of operads. So we have a reciprocal image functor $\tau_P^* : \mathbf{Alg}_{GP}^{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{FP}^{\mathcal{E}}$, and again, the monoidal natural transformation τ induces a natural transformation $\mathbf{Alg}_P^{\tau} : F \Longrightarrow \tau_P^* \circ G$, which we still write simply τ, because, forgetting the operad algebra structures, it is the same original τ.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Alg}_P^{\mathcal{D}} \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[d, "G"] & \mathbf{Alg}_{FP}^{\mathcal{E}} \\
\mathbf{Alg}_{GP}^{\mathcal{E}} \arrow[ur, "\tau_P^*"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

7.4.2. Now we can safely state our theorem for algebras over a fixed operad and show that the proof goes along the same lines as the ones for operads and algebras over variable operads (6.2.2 and 6.3.1).

Theorem 7.4.1. *For every operad* $P \in \mathbf{Op}$, $\mathbf{Alg}_P$ *has a natural descent structure, with weak equivalences* $\mathrm{E}_P = \{\varphi : A \longrightarrow B \ \mid \varphi \in \mathrm{E}\}$.

Proof. A cosimplicial P-algebra is the same as an ordinary $(P \times \Delta)$-algebra; that is, an algebra over the constant cosimplicial operad P. So our simple functor induces a functor between the categories of algebras $\mathbf{Alg}_{P\times\Delta}^{\mathbf{s}} : \Delta\mathbf{Alg}_P^{\mathcal{D}} = \mathbf{Alg}_{P\times\Delta}^{\Delta\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{s}(P\times\Delta)}^{\mathcal{D}}$, which we compose with the reciprocal image functor induced by the morphism of operads $\lambda_P : P \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}(P \times \Delta)$ to obtain the simple functor for P-algebras $\mathbf{s}_P = \lambda_P^* \circ \mathbf{Alg}_{P\times\Delta}^{\mathbf{s}} : \Delta\mathbf{Alg}_P^{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_P^{\mathcal{D}}$.

Our previous remarks 7.4.1 provide us with natural transformations μ_P and λ_P too. Again, since all data $\mathrm{E}_P, \mathbf{s}_P, \mu_P$ and λ_P are defined through the forgetful functor $\psi : \mathbf{Alg}_P^{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$, they satisfy trivially the hypotheses of the Transfer Lemma 2.1.6. ☐

Theorem 7.4.2. *For any operad $P \in \mathbf{Op}$, $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Alg}_P)$ is a Cartan-Eilenberg category.*

Proof. It follows the same pattern as the one of 7.3.1. ☐

Theorem 7.4.3. *For any operad $P \in \mathbf{Op}$ and any morphism of sites $f : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, the direct image functor $f_* : \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Alg}_P) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbf{Alg}_P)$ admits a right derived functor given by $\mathbb{R}f_* = f_*\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X}}$.*

Proof. As usual. ☐

Examples 7.4.4. So the categories of commutative or Lie algebras, $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{C}om}^{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{L}ie}^{\mathcal{D}}$, inherit natural descent structures. For instance, we can take $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k})$. Hence $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{C}om}^{\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k})})$ and $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{L}ie}^{\mathbf{C}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{k})})$ are CE-categories and for any sheaf of commutative $\mathcal{A}$ or Lie $\mathcal{L}$ dg algebras, we have in particular sheaf cohomologies $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A})$ and $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$. The first agrees with the *Thom-Whitney* derived functor $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{TW}}(X, \mathcal{A})$ developed by V. Navarro in [N] and the second with the one we can find in [Hin].

Appendix A. The IPC property

For sheaves with values in general categories, the well-known construction of the associated sheaf for sheaves with values in sets, or in abelian categories, needs the *IPC property* ([KS2], Definition 3.1.10), which is a sort of commutation of colimits with *arbitrary* products, not just finite limits. For the convenience of the reader, we recall the main features of this IPC property and, since the IPC property resembles the usual property of interchange of finite limits and filtered colimits, let us recall the latter first.

If we have a bifunctor $F : P \times J \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, where P is a finite category and J a small filtered one, then the canonical map ([McL], IX.2)

$$\kappa : \underset{\longrightarrow}{\mathrm{colim}}\,_j \underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}\,_p F(p,j) \longrightarrow \underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}\,_p \underset{\longrightarrow}{\mathrm{colim}}\,_j F(p,j) \tag{A.0.1}$$

is an isomorphism.

For an arbitrary category $\mathcal{D}$, if this κ is an isomorphism for all bifunctors $F : P \times J \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$, it is usually said that filtered colimits are *exact*, or just that they commute with finite limits. Since, on one hand, the word "exact" is already overused in this paper and, on the other hand, we want to stress the analogy with the IPC property of [KS2], we make the following definition.

Definition A.0.5. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a category with finite limits and filtered colimits and $F : P \times J \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a bifunctor, where P is a finite category and J a small and filtered one. We say that $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies the *IFC property* if the canonical map κ (A.0.1) is an isomorphism for any bifunctor F. We will also say that $\mathcal{D}$ is an *IFC category*.

IFC property stands for *inductive-limit-finite-projective-limit commutation property.* So, **Set** is an IFC category.

As for the IPC property, its setting is the following. Let $\{I_a\}_{a\in A}$ be a family of small and filtered categories, indexed by a set A. Let $K = \prod_{a\in A} I_a$ denote the product category and $\pi_a : K \longrightarrow I_a$ the projection functor. It is easy to see that K is also a filtered category. Given a family of functors $\{\alpha_a : I_a \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\}$, define the product functor $\varphi : K \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ as $\varphi(k) = \prod_{a\in A} \alpha_a(\pi_a(k))$. The object $\prod_{a\in A} \underrightarrow{\operatorname{colim}}_{\, i\in I_a} \alpha_a(i)$ is well-defined in $\mathcal{D}$, and the family of morphisms $\alpha_a(\pi_a(k)) \longrightarrow \underrightarrow{\operatorname{colim}}_{\, i\in I_a} \alpha_a(i)$ induces a morphism $\prod_{a\in A} \alpha_a(\pi_a(k)) \longrightarrow \prod_{a\in A} \underrightarrow{\operatorname{colim}}_{\, i\in I_a} \alpha_a(i)$. Hence the canonical map

$$\lambda : \underrightarrow{\operatorname{colim}}_{\, k\in K} \prod_{a\in A} \alpha_a(\pi_a(k)) \longrightarrow \prod_{a\in A} (\underrightarrow{\operatorname{colim}}_{\, i_a\in I_a} \alpha_a(i_a)) \ . \tag{A.0.2}$$

Definition A.0.6. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a category with arbitrary products and filtered colimits. We say that $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies the *IPC property* if the canonical map λ (A.0.2) is an isomorphism for any family $\{I_a\}_{a\in A}$ of filtered categories and any family of functors $\{\alpha_a : I_a \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\}_{a\in A}$ indexed by a set A. We will also say that $\mathcal{D}$ is an *IPC category.*

IPC property stands for *inductive-limit-product commutation property.* For instance, the category of sets **Set** satisfies the IPC property (see [KS2], Proposition 3.1.11 (ii)).

Lemma A.0.7.
(a) *A product of IFC (resp., IPC) categories is an IFC (resp., IPC) category.*
(b) *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an IFC (resp., IPC) category. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a category with finite limits (resp., arbitrary products) and filtered colimits, together with a functor $U : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which commutes with finite limits (resp., arbitrary products) and filtered colimits and reflects isomorphisms, then $\mathcal{E}$ is an IFC (resp., IPC) category.*
(c) *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an IFC (resp., IPC) category. Then, for any small indexing category I, the functor category $\mathcal{D}^I$ is an IFC (resp., IPC) category. Particularly, if G is a group and we consider it as a category with a single object and morphisms the elements of G, the category of objects of $\mathcal{D}$ endowed with a G-action is the functor category $\mathcal{D}^G$ and hence an IFC (resp., IPC) category.*

Proof. This is an easy exercise (see [KS2], Proposition 3.1.11). □

Thanks to this lemma, we can see that most of the common categories satisfy both the IFC and IPC properties. Particularly, all the categories appearing in this paper.

References

[Boa] J.M. Boardman, *Conditionally convergent spectral sequences*, Cont.Math. **239** (1999), 49–84.

[Bour] Bourbaki, *Algebra I. Chapters 1-3*, Springer (1989).

[Bous] A.K. Bousfield, *Cosimplicial resolutions and homotopy spectral sequences in model categories*, Geometry & Topology, **7** (2003), 1001–1053.

[BK] A.K. Bousfield, D.M. Kan *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations* Lecture Notes in Math. **304**, (1972).

[Br] K.S. Brown, *Abstract Homotopy Theory and Generalized Sheaf Cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, **186** (1973), 419–458.

[CE] H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princenton University Press, (1956).

[DP] A. Dold, D. Puppe, Homologie Nicht-Additiver Funktoren. Anwendungen, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 11 (1961), 201–312.
[GS] H. Gillet and Soulé, *Filtrations on Higher Algebraic K-theory*, in *Algebraic K-Theory*, Proc. of Sym. in Pure Math., **67**, AMS (1999), 41–88.
[Go] R. Godement, *Théorie des faisceaux*, Actualités sci. et ind. **1252**, Hermann (1973).
[GN] F. Guillén y V. Navarro Aznar, *Un critère d'extension des foncteurs définis sur les schémas lisses*, Publ. Math. IHES, **95** (2002), 1–91.
[GNPR] F. Guillén Santos, V. Navarro, P. Pascual, Agustí Roig, *A Cartan-Eilenberg approach to homotopical algebra*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **214**, (2010), 140–164.
[Har] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer GTM **52** (1977).
[Hin] V. Hinich, *Deformations of sheaves of algebras*, Advances in Math., 195 (2005), 102–164.
[Hir] P.S. Hirschhorn, *Model Categories and Their Localizations*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, **99**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (2002).
[HS] V.A. Hinich, V.V. Schechtman, *On homotopy limit of homotopy algebras*, in *K-Theory, Arithmetic and Geometry*, Springer LNM **1289** (1987), 240–264.
[Ja1] J.F. Jardine, *Simplicial objects in a Grothendieck topos*, Contemp. Math. **55** I (1986), 193–239.
[Ja2] J.F. Jardine, *Simplicial presheaves*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **47** (1987), 35–87.
[Ja3] J.F. Jardine, *Generalised sheaf cohomology theories*, in *Axiomatic, Enriched and Motivic Homotopy Theory*, NATO Science Series **II 131** (2004), 29–68.
[K] G.M. Kelly, *On the operads of J. P. May.*, Repr. Theory Appl. Categ. **13** (2005), 1–13 (electronic).
[KM] M. Kapranov, Y. Manin, *Modules and Morita theorem for operads*, Amer. J. Math., **123**, (2001), 811–838.
[KS1] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **292** Springer (1990).
[KS2] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **332**, Springer (2006).
[Le] M. Levine, *Mixed Motives*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, **57**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1998).
[McL] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician* (second edition), Springer GTM 5, (1998).
[McLM] S. Mac Lane, I. Moerdijk *Sheaves in Geometry and Logic: A First Introduction to Topos Theory*, Springer (1992)
[MSS] Markl, Shnider, Stasheff, *Operads in Algebra, Topology and Physics*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, **96**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (2002).
[Mit] S.A. Mitchell, *Hypercohomology spectra and Thomason's descent theorem*, in *Algebraic K-Theory, Fields Institute Communications*, (1997), 221-278.
[MV] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky, $\mathbb{A}^1$*-homotopy theory of schemes*, Pub. Math. I.H.E.S. **90** (1999), 45–143.
[N] V. Navarro Aznar *Sur la théorie de Hodge-Deligne*, Inv. Math. **90** (1987), 11–76.
[Q] D. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Springer LNM **43**, (1967).
[Rod1] B. Rodríguez González, *Simplicial descent categories*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **216** no. 4, (2012). p. 775–788.
[Rod2] B. Rodríguez González, *Realizable homotopy colimits*. Available at arXiv:1104.0646.
[SGA1] Séminaire de Géometrie Algébrique SGA1 *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, Springer LNM **224**, (1971).
[SGA4] Séminaire de Géometrie Algébrique SGA4 *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*, Springer LNM **269** (1972)
[Sp] N. Spaltenstein, *Resolutions of unbounded complexes*, Compositio Math. **65**, (1988), p. 121–154.
[Sw] R.G. Swan, *Cup products in sheaf cohomology, pure injectives, and a substitute for projective resolutions*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **144**, (1999), 169–211.
[Th] R. W. Thomason *Algebraic K-theory and etale cohomology*, Ann. Sci. ENS **18** (1985), 437–552.
[We] C. A. Weibel, *Cyclic Homology of Schemes*, Proc. AMS **124** (1996), 1655–1662.

ICMAT, CSIC-Complutense-UAM-CarlosIII, Campus Cantoblanco, UAM. 28049 Madrid, Spain.

Dept. Matemàtica Aplicada I, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, UPC, Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.